

Pulse shape analysis studies for the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR

C. Cuesta

on behalf of the MAJORANA Collaboration



The MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR

- 40 kg array of high purity Ge p-type point contact (PPC) detectors.
- 30 kg of detectors enriched \geq 86% in ⁷⁶Ge.
- Background goal of 3 counts/(ROI-ton-y) in the 4 keV region of interest at 2039 keV, which scales to $\sim 1 \text{ count}/(\text{ROI-ton-y})$ for a tonne scale experiment.
- Located on the 4850' level of the Sanford Underground Research Facility in Lead, SD.

The goals for the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR are:

1. Demonstrate background levels low enough to justify building a tonne scale experiment.







The DEMONSTRATOR will consist of two independent vacuum cryostats, each containing 20 kg of detectors. The cryostats and the majority of internal detector components will be constructed from ultra-clean, electroformed copper and installed in a compact shield of copper, lead, and an active muon veto. The modular, scalable design of the DEMONSTRATOR allows cryostats to be commissioned as they are completed.

Construction of the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR is proceeding in three stages:

Prototype Module: ^{nat}Ge detectors and components built from OFHC copper. Tested mechanical systems., fabrication processes, and assembly procedures. Provided valuable information in preparation for Module 1

- 2. Demonstrate the feasibility of constructing & fielding modular arrays of Ge detectors.
- 3. Test the Klapdor-Kleingrothaus claim of a neutrinoless double-beta decay signal [H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus and I. V. Krivosheina, Mod. Phys. Lett. A21, 1547 (2006)].
- 4. Perform searches for other physics beyond the standard model, such as dark matter and axions.

- Module 1: ^{enr}Ge detectors. Currently under construction.
- Module 2: ^{enr}Ge and ^{nat}Ge detectors.

Pulse Shape Analysis (PSA)

P-type point contact detectors

The DEMONSTRATOR will use PPC Ge detectors because they allow for efficient discrimination between multiple interactions from gamma rays and single-site neutrinoless double-beta decay events. PPC detectors have the added benefit of a relatively simple design and sub-keV energy thresholds, enabling the DEMONSTRATOR to look for non-Standard Model physics, including nuclear recoils from WIMPs.



(c)

The multiple interaction sites events (MSE) exhibit multiple steps in

Favorable characteristics of PPC Ge detectors: • Small point-like central contact; no deep hole. • Short length possible. • Simple, cost-effective, low intrinsic radioactivity. Multiple interactions from a gamma ray in a coaxial detector (a) and a point contact detector (b). The sharply peaked weighting potential of the point contact detector (c) results in

> र 300 a) Single-site b) Multi-site 300 ເ<u>ວັ</u> 200 200

distinct signals from each energy deposition (d).

²²⁸Th calibration as reference population

- **SSE**: Double escape peak (DEP) of 2614.5 keV photons of ²⁰⁸Tl is at 1592.5 keV.
- **MSE**: Full energy photon peaks with similar energy, e.g. 1620.5 keV photons from ²¹²Bi and single escape peak (SEP) of 2614.5 keV photons of ²⁰⁸Tl at 2103.5 keV.
- Preliminary results:
 - MSE rejection: 90% (A/E) 99% (χ^2)
 - SSE survival: 90% (A/E) 98% (χ^2)

PSA performance of a detector in the Prototype Module (A/E cut applied) with a ²²⁸Tl source and no shielding.



Pulse shape simulations

• Monte Carlo simulations are carried out with MaGe, a simulation software framework based on Geant4 developed by the MAJORANA and GERDA collaborations. In addition to the simulations to accomplish a background model of the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR, pulse shape simulations are in progress to validate the PSA techniques and estimate the systematics.







χ^2 calculation

- Developed an algorithm based on a library of unique, measured single site pulse shapes.
- Event-by-event χ^2 fitting of experimental pulse shapes.
- Depending on χ^2 , MSE and SSE are distinguished.
- Performs well in preliminary tests and in good agreement with benchmark simulations.
- More work needed on user interface, optimization, evaluating systematic uncertainties.

Experimental MSE compared to a reference SSE.

A/E optimization

- Peak amplitude to total energy or A/E: Ratio of the maximum current, calculated from the time differentiation of the recorded charge waveforms, to the event total energy.
- With total energy deposited at multiple stages, MSE generally have smaller maximum current for the same energy, i.e. smaller A/E.
- Optimum estimation under study:

- Energy estimation: Calculated with a trapezoidal filter, but on-board energy or cusp filter are also being considered

- The result is unique to detector, depends on geometry and impurities. Electronics is also considered in the data analysis: noise, preamp shaping.
- Preliminary results:
 - ²²⁸Th source in the Prototype Module experimental measurements (no shielding):

²²⁸Th source in the Prototype Module **simulations**:

(a) Energy Spectra. Note that the Prototype Module was not shielded in the measurement, but rock is not included in simulation (no ²³⁸U chain or ⁴⁰K). (b) Charge waveforms of a multisite event. (c) A/E corrected value calculated in the 1000-2800 keV energy range.

- Amplitude determination: Calculated off-line through a trapezoidal or RC filter. Comparison of different time constants.

• A/E calculation: Fitting A/E(E) to remove the energy dependence of the cut.

(a) A/E distribution of a detector in the Prototype module with a 228 Tl source and no shielding. A is calculated with a trapezoidal filter and a 200 ns time constant. (b) Energy dependence of A/E of the same data.

The MAJORANA Collaboration

Black Hills State University, Spearfish, SD Kara Keeter

Duke University, Durham, North Carolina , and TUNL Matthew Busch, James Esterline, Gary Swift, Werner Tornow

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia Alexander Barabash, Sergey Konovalov, Vladimir Yumatov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia Viktor Brudanin, Slava Egorov, K. Gusev, Oleg Kochetov, M. Shirchenko, V. Timkin, E. Yakushev

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California and the University of California - Berkeley Nicolas Abgrall, Mark Amman, Paul Barton, Yuen-Dat Chan, Paul Luke, Susanne Mertens, Alan Poon, Kai Vetter, Harold Yaver

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico Melissa Boswell, Steven Elliott, Johnny Goett, Keith Rielage, Larry Rodriguez, Harry Salazar, Wengin Xu

North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina and TUNL Dustin Combs, Lance Leviner, David G. Phillips II, Albert Young

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee Fred Bertrand, Kathy Carney, Alfredo Galindo-Uribarri, Matthew P. Green, Monty Middlebrook, David Radford, Elisa Romero-Romero, Robert Varner, Brandon White, Timothy Williams, Chang-Hong Yu

Osaka University, Osaka, Japan Hiroyasu Ejiri, Ryuta Hazama, Masaharu Nomachi, Shima Tatsuji

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington Isaac Arnquist, Jim Fast, Eric Hoppe, Richard T. Kouzes, Brian LaFerriere, John Orrell, Nicole Overman

> Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China James Loach

South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, South Dakota Adam Caldwell, Cabot-Ann Christofferson, Stanley Howard, Anne-Marie Suriano, Jared Thompson

> Tennessee Tech University, Cookeville, Tennessee Mary Kidd

> > University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta Aksel Hallin

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina and TUNL Graham K. Giovanetti, Reyco Henning, Mark Howe, Jacqueline MacMullin, Sam Meijer, Benjamin Shanks, Christopher O'Shaughnessy, Jamin Rager, Jim Trimble, Kris Vorren, John F. Wilkerson

> University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina Frank Avignone, Vince Guiseppe, David Tedeschi, Clint Wiseman

University of South Dakota, Vermillion, South Dakota Dana Byram, Ben Jasinski, Ryan Martin, Nathan Snyder

> University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee Yuri Efremenko, Sergey Vasilyev

University of Washington, Seattle, Washington Tom Burritt, Micah Buuck, Clara Cuesta, Jason Detwiler, Peter J. Doe, Julieta Gruszko, Ian Guinn, Greg Harper, Jonathan Leon, David Peterson, R. G. Hamish Robertson, Tim Van Wechel

We acknowledge support from the Office of Nuclear Physics in the DOE Office of Science, the Particle Astrophysics Program of the National Science Foundation, and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research. We acknowledge the support of the Sanford Underground Research Facility administration and staff.