

Building and characterizing strings of Ge detectors for the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR

Benjamin Shanks on behalf of the MAJORANA Collaboration



The MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR

The DEMONSTRATOR is a neutrinoless double beta decay experiment using germanium as source and detector.

- 40 kg array of high purity Ge p-type point contact (PPC) detectors
- 30 kg of detectors enriched to 87% in ⁷⁶Ge
- Background goal of 3 counts/t/y in the 4 keV region of interest at 2039 keV, which scales to ~1 count/ROI/t/y for a tonne scale experiment
- Located on the 4850' level of the Sanford Underground Research Facility in Lead, SD

<image>

Modular Approach: Detector, String, Cryostat

Construction of the DEMONSTRATOR follows a modular approach, which allows for scalability and rapid deployment.

- a) The fundamental unit, a detector in its mount. Immediately above the detector is the front-end electronics board, which is custom made to be ultra-clean and lowmass. The high voltage contact is a ring of ultra-pure underground electroformed copper under the detector.
- b) Detectors are stacked together into groups of four to five, called strings. Each detector in a string is electrically isolated, but all share a common thermal contact







The goals for the DEMONSTRATOR are:

1. Demonstrate background levels low enough to justify building a tonne-scale experiment

2. Establish the feasibility of constructing & fielding modular arrays of Ge detectors

3. Search for additional physics beyond the Standard Model, such as solar axions and dark matter

c) A single module cryostat houses seven strings. Up to two modules can be simultaneously housed in the DEMONSTRATOR shield

String Building

Cleanliness protocols

The low-background aim of the DEMONSTRATOR mandates that great care be taken to avoid introducing any radiocontaminants to the detectors. Of particular concern is radon, which is gaseous and present in the lab air. To address this concern, the DEMONSTRATOR follows strict cleanliness protocols. To mitigate cosmogenic activation in storage, detectors are kept underground in (a) a chamber purged with liquid nitrogen boil off. Detector unit and string construction is performed inside (b) a glovebox maintained as a class 10 cleanroom and purged with liquid nitrogren boil off.



Detector unit construction

The collaboration receives detectors in a vendor cryostat. After acceptance measurements are made, the detector is (a) decryostated in the glovebox. The detector is then (b) mounted into a detector unit made of electroformed copper. Detector materials are chosen to be ultra-pure and minimal mass. Each detector has a unique HV

String construction and testing

Strings are built by (a) stacking detector units along three copper rods, threading HV and signal cables through copper guides. The string is then (b) inserted into a String Test Cryostat (STC), a vessel for operating and testing individual strings. The collaboration can operate up to seven STCs simultaneously. Once testing is complete, the string is mounted, along with six other strings, into a module cryostat, forming a full DEMONSTRATOR module.





String characterization measurements

connection and (c) signal read-out cable which is fed to a preamplifier outside of vacuum via a Vespel connector.







The STC is used to verify that the detectors & strings behave as expected before their insertion into a module cryostat. Characterization data taken in the STC includes:

- Source measurements to look for cross-talk between detectors
- Coincidence measurements to measure relative timing
- Noise and microphonics characterization, using random triggers
- Pulse shape analysis performance for background discrimination
- Threshold determination

String Characterization

Energy resolution



A series of source measurements are taken in the STC to confirm the detector resolution matches values taken during acceptance testing, as well as to optimize the performance of the digitizer. Data shown at left are for a natural Ge detector manufactured by ORTEC.

Room background measurement & modeling

(detector not in shield)

To ensure each string has been constructed without introducing contamination, background data were taken with the string in an unshielded String Test Cryostat. Monte Carlo simulations of the STC and surrounding rock provide an expected background spectrum for each detector. Simulation and can be compared to measured data to check for unexpected features.



Energy [keV]

Source scanning measurements

An automated z-scanner (a) with a ¹³³Ba source is used to measure the insensitive dead layer at the outer surface of the detector. A rotating scanning table (b) will be used to determine crystal axes, which facilitates a solar axion program.





Acknowledgements: The MAJORANA Collaboration

Black Hills State University, Spearfish, SD Kara Keeter

Duke University, Durham, North Carolina , and TUNL Matthew Busch, James Esterline, Gary Swift, Werner Tornow

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia Alexander Barabash, Sergey Konovalov, Vladimir Yumatov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia Viktor Brudanin, Slava Egorov, K. Gusev, Oleg Kochetov, M. Shirchenko, V. Timkin, E. Yakushev, I. Zhitnikov

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California and the University of California - Berkeley Nicolas Abgrall, Mark Amman, Paul Barton, Yuen-Dat Chan, Paul Luke, Susanne Mertens, Alan Poon, Kai Vetter, Harold Yaver

> Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico Melissa Boswell, Steven Elliott, Johnny Goett, Keith Rielage, Larry Rodriguez, Harry Salazar, Wenqin Xu

North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina and TUNL Dustin Combs, Lance Leviner, David G. Phillips II, Albert Young

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee Fred Bertrand, Kathy Carney, Alfredo Galindo-Uribarri, Matthew P. Green, Monty Middlebrook, David Radford, Elisa Romero-Romero, Robert Varner, Brandon White, Timothy Williams, Chang-Hong Yu

> *Osaka University, Osaka, Japan* Hiroyasu Ejiri, Ryuta Hazama, Masaharu Nomachi, Shima Tatsuji

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington Isaac Arnquist, Jim Fast, Eric Hoppe, Richard T. Kouzes, Brian LaFerriere, John Orrell, Nicole Overman

> Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China James Loach

South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, South Dakota Adam Caldwell, Cabot-Ann Christofferson, Stanley Howard, Anne-Marie Suriano, Jared Thompson

> Tennessee Tech University, Cookeville, Tennessee Mary Kidd

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta Aksel Hallin

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina and TUNL Graham K. Giovanetti, Reyco Henning, Mark Howe, Jacqueline MacMullin, Sam Meijer, Benjamin Shanks, Christopher O' Shaughnessy, Jamin Rager, Jim Trimble, Kris Vorren, John F. Wilkerson

> University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina Frank Avignone, Vince Guiseppe, David Tedeschi, Clint Wiseman

University of South Dakota, Vermillion, South Dakota Dana Byram, Ben Jasinski, Ryan Martin, Nathan Snyder

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee Yuri Efremenko, Sergey Vasilyev

University of Washington, Seattle, Washington Tom Burritt, Micah Buuck, Clara Cuesta, Jason Detwiler, Peter J. Doe, Julieta Gruszko, Ian Guinn, Greg Harper, Jonathan Leon, David Peterson, R. G. Hamish Robertson, Tim Van Wechel

We acknowledge support from the Office of Nuclear Physics in the DOE Office of Science, the Particle Astrophysics Program of the National Science Foundation, and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research. We acknowledge the support of the Sanford Underground Research Facility administration and staff.