

Results from atmospheric neutrino oscillations with IceCube/DeepCore Measurement of v_u disappearance

Juan Pablo Yáñez for the IceCube Collaboration DESY

Neutrino 2014 June 2014, Boston, USA

juan.pablo.yanez@desy.de

Neutrino Oscillations in atmospheric neutrinos

> Neutrinos have peculiar properties

- Massive, but not too massive
- Different masses, but not too different*
- Mixed, almost maximally mixed

Neutrino oscillations

Described by a sum of factors of the form $P(v_{lpha}
ightarrow v_{eta}) = \sin^2(2 heta) \sin^2(1.27\Delta m^2 L/E)$

3+2+1 physics parameters

- Nature has been kind to us
 - Naturally occurring neutrinos as a probe for oscillations (solar, atmospheric)



Neutrino Oscillations in atmospheric neutrinos

Neutrinos have peculiar properties

- Massive, but not too massive
- Different masses, but not too different*
- Mixed, almost maximally mixed

Neutrino oscillations

Described by a sum of factors of the form $P(v_{lpha}
ightarrow v_{eta}) = \sin^2(2 heta) \sin^2(1.27\Delta m^2 L/E)$

3+2+1 physics parameters

- Nature has been kind to us
 - Naturally occurring neutrinos as a probe for oscillations (solar, atmospheric)



Atmospheric neutrinos in IceCube/DeepCore



> Sparse detector

- Sensors separation from ~7 m to ~70 m
- $E_v \sim 10 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{few photons in a few sensors}$
- Photons travel in a complex optical medium
 - Layered structure, varying scattering and absorption
 - Columns of newly formed ice at the drilled holes
- Sensors cannot be calibrated in-situ
 - Calibrated at the lab, now frozen in ice
 - In-situ tools fold in medium properties
- > Atmospheric muons are detected at rates 10⁶ higher than neutrinos
 - Non-negligible probability for faking a neutrino-like signature





> Sparse detector

- Sensors separation from ~7 m to ~70 m
- $E_v \sim 10 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{few photons in a few sensors}$

Photons travel in a complex optical medium

- Layered structure, varying scattering and absorption
- Columns of newly formed ice at the drilled holes
- Sensors cannot be calibrated in-situ
 - Calibrated at the lab, now frozen in ice
 - In-situ tools fold in medium properties
- > Atmospheric muons are detected at rates 10⁶ higher than neutrinos
 - Non-negligible probability for faking a neutrino-like signature





> Sparse detector

- Sensors separation from ~7 m to ~70 m
- $E_v \sim 10 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{few photons in a few sensors}$
- > Photons travel in a complex optical medium
 - Layered structure, varying scattering and absorption
 - Columns of newly formed ice at the drilled holes

Sensors cannot be calibrated in-situ

- Calibrated at the lab, now frozen in ice
- In-situ tools fold in medium properties
- > Atmospheric muons are detected at rates 10⁶ higher than neutrinos
 - Non-negligible probability for faking a neutrino-like signature

Inside of an IceCube string during refreeze, image taken by the Swedish camera system





- > Sparse detector
 - Sensors separation from ~7 m to ~70 m
 - $E_v \sim 10 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{few photons in a few sensors}$
- > Photons travel in a complex optical medium
 - Layered structure, varying scattering and absorption
 - Columns of newly formed ice at the drilled holes
- Sensors cannot be calibrated in-situ
 - Calibrated at the lab, now frozen in ice
 - In-situ tools fold in medium properties

Every atm . muon reaching IC leaves a signal Only the neutrinos that interact

somewhere close to IC leave a signal

> Atmospheric muons are detected at rates 10⁶ higher than neutrinos

Non-negligible probability for faking a neutrino-like signature



> Sparse detector



- In-situ tools fold in medium properties
- > Atmospheric muons are detected at rates 10⁶ higher than neutrinos
 - Non-negligible probability for faking a neutrino-like signature



0.95

1.00

IceCube oscillations results (2013)

0.65

0.70

0.75

0.80

 $\sin^2(2\theta_{23})$

0.85

0.90

Addressing the challenges



Atmospheric muon background

- > Use IceCube as a veto for DeepCore
 - o Look for hits of muons entering the detector: tag and remove the events
 - Strategies:
 - Location of first DOM pair (trigger)
 - Count isolated but causally connected DOMs in veto region
 - Search for individual hits in a narrow time window from known problematic directions



Veto is used to tag muons and use them to fit the atm. muon background in the result This background is derived from data



Neutrino signal

> Signal*: v_{μ} of E \leq 50 GeV in charged current (CC) interaction

*All other interactions are background for this study



We need the incoming direction and energy of these signal neutrinos

Selection and directional reconstruction: direct photons

- Focus on the subset of neutrino events dominated by non-scattered photons
- Build observables that depend on them
 - Minimally distorted by medium properties/event variations





Idea developed in collaboration with J. Brunner* (Astropart.Phys.34:652-662,2011)

Constructing a full energy estimator

> Dividing the problem in two parts

$$E_{\text{reco}} = E_{\mu}(R_{\mu}) + E_{\text{vertex}}(E_{\text{had}}, \vec{x}_{\text{vertex}})$$



Correlation between reconstructed and true energy



- Takes all information available in the detector
- Uses the parameterized light emission of particles
 - Optical properties of the ice included
- Good resolution down to $\underline{E}_v \sim 10 \text{ GeV}$



Fitting the oscillation parameters: θ_{23} , Δm_{23}^2

- > Using a binned likelihood for a **3 flavor fit with matter effects**
 - 2-D histograms as a function of energy and zenith angle
 - Systematic uncertainties as nuisance parameters
 - Other osc. parameters (θ₁₂, θ₁₃, Δm²₂₁) fixed
 Using global fits from Fogli et al. (Phys.Rev.D86,013012)
- Systematic uncertainties included in the fit

gle
$$E = [7, 56] \text{ GeV}, \quad \cos \theta_Z < 0$$

$$-\ln(L) \propto \sum_i t_i - d_i \ln t_i + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\nu_i - \hat{\nu})^2}{{\sigma_\nu}^2}$$

\lambda

Systematic uncertainty	Prior	Implemented
Atm. μ contamination	Unconstrained, free fit from data	
Atm. v flux *	From Honda 2011, Phys.Rev.D83:123001	Modifying the
v_e / v_μ deviation	$\mu_n = Honda, \ \sigma_n = 0.2$	weights
Spectral index (γ) *	$\mu_{\gamma} = \text{Honda}, \sigma_{\gamma} = 0.05$	
Photon collection eff.	$\sigma_{eff} = 10\%$	From discrete
Scattering in ice columns	$\mu_a = 0.02 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \sigma_a = 0.01 \text{ cm}^{-1}$	MC variations
Modeling of bulk ice	Models in Nucl.Instr.Meth.A711,2013,73	Marginalization

* Cross section uncertainty covered by these parameters



Results



Final neutrino sample

- Including 3 years of full detector configuration (IC86)
 - 953 days of detector livetime
- MC expectation: ~ 7,000 events
 - Disappearance of ~ 1,900
- Energy threshold ~ 10 GeV
- Zenith angle: 12 deg. res. at 10 GeV
 - Low energy side: 15 deg. res.
 - High energy: 5 deg. res.
- Energy: 30% res. at 10 GeV
 - Not so reliable below 10 GeV
 - Above 50 GeV muons leave the detector





Final neutrino sample

- Including 3 years of full detector configuration (IC86)
 - 953 days of detector livetime



Likelihood scan and profile

Parameter	Normal hierarchy		Inverted hierarchy	
	Best fit	68% CI	Best fit	68% CI
$\sin^2(\theta_{23})$	0.512	0.422 - 0.600	0.509	0.417 – 0.594
$\Delta m^2_{32} (10^3 {\rm eV}^2)$	2.684	2.503 - 2.877	2.563	2.385 - 2.754



5293 events selected (2011-2014) $\chi^2 = 45.5 / 56 \text{ dof}$ No preference for NH vs IH 1σ preference matter/vacuum

Parameter	Deviation at best fit		
Flux at horizon	-1σ		
Spectral index	+ 0.48 σ		
v _e deviation	- 0.62 σ		
DOM eff.	+ 0.02 σ		
Scattering in ice columns	+ 0.63 σ		



Data / MC agreement (2D histogram analyzed)

- Solid lines: Best fit (with osc.) and MC expectation (no osc.)
- > Bands: variations allowed by the systematic uncertainties **assumed**





Ratio to MC expectation (2D histogram analyzed)

- Solid lines: Best fit (with osc.) and MC expectation (no osc.)
- > Bands: variations allowed by the systematic uncertainties **assumed**





L/E display of the result

Data as a function of reconstructed L/E





Comparison, conclusion, outlook

Precision measurement of neutrino oscillations with IceCube/DeepCore

- At the highest energies observed, test of 3-flavor paradigm
- Results compatible with world's average (maximal mixing)
- Systematic uncertainties under control, data/MC agreement
- Not the final word on the subject





Constant improvements

- Constrains on the neutrino flux (P.99) M. Schmitz, Unfolding of the Muon Neutrino Energy Spectrum with IceCube
- Calibration/understanding of the ice (P.162) M. Jurkovič, New Calibration Methods for IceCube, DeepCore and PINGU
- Reconstruction techniques

(P.368) T. Arlen, J.P.A.M. de André, Event reconstruction and Particle Identification for Low Energy Events in DeepCore and PINGU

- Cascade channel, selection efficiency (P.213) J.P.A.M. de André, Sensitivity to ν_τ appearance with DeepCore and PINGU
- More data
 - ... or an extension.



Backup slides



Final neutrino sample

Including 3 years of full detector configuration (IC86)

- 953 days of detector livetime
- > MC expectation: ~ 7,000 events
 - Disappearance of ~ 1,900
- Energy threshold ~ 10 GeV

Component	Events in sample		
Component	Osc.	No osc.	
$ u_{\mu}$	3755	5900	
v_{τ}	273	-	
v _e	678	650	
$\nu_{\rm NC}$	418		
Atm. μ	54		





Final neutrino sample, reconstructed observables

- Including 3 years of full detector configuration (IC86)
 - 953 days of detector livetime
- MC expectation: ~ 7,000 events
 - Disappearance of ~ 1,600
- Energy threshold ~ 10 GeV
- Zenith angle: 12 deg at 10 GeV
 - Low energy side: 15 deg. res.
 - High energy: 5 deg.
- Energy: 30% res. at 10 GeV
 - Strong bias below 10 GeV
 - Above 50 GeV muons leave the detector



MC prediction, reconstructed energy/zenith angle

L/E display of the result

Data as a function of reconstructed L/E





Ratio of Nu:NuBar as a function of energy





Neutrino signal

> Signal*: v_{μ} of E \leq 100 GeV in charged current (CC) interaction

*All other interactions are background for this study









Cross sections from different models



