

Chimera and NFS 4.1 in dCache

Patrick Fuhrmann Tigran Mkrtchyan

> presented by Peter van der Reest, DESY at HEPiX, Fall 2007













Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest

HEPiX, Fall 2007



Motivation What is Pnfs doing in dCache ? How does dCache interact with Pnfs ? What is so wrong with Pnfs ? What is Chimera (Basics) ? How does dCache interact with Chimera ? What does Chimera provide ? Status of Chimera ?

> What is NFS 4.1 Major advantages of NFS 4.1 What does NFS 4.1 mean for dCache

Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest

HEPiX, Fall 2007



* Pnfs is the current name space and meta data provider for dCache.

★ With the increasing demands on dCache instances concerning the number of file operations per second, especially at Tier I centers, we expect Pnfs to become a bottleneck with the start of LHC.

* Chimera is the replacement of Pnfs, which targets the problems described in this presentation.

* The presentation gives some technical details on Pnfs and Chimera.

Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest HEPiX



Pnfs is the dCache name space and meta data provider

- * Generates a posix like virtual file system name space
- ★ Maps file-system names to unique ID's (pnfsID)
 - ★ dCache (internally) only uses pnfsIDs (never file names)
- * Stores posix meta data with file object
 - ★ e.g.: Size, Permissions, Access Timestamps, etc
- * Stores arbitrary meta data with the pnfsID's
 - ★ User meta data in /pnfs/.../.(use)(3-7)(<filename>)
 - ★ dCache related data (File Location, HSM information, etc...)
- ***** Pnfs provides its services through the nfs2/3 interface only
- Pnfs doesn't store any 'real' data
- ***** The Pnfs software doesn't know anything about dCache

Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest HEPiX, Fall 2007





Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest

HEPiX, Fall 2007

What is so wrong with Pnfs?

Major Pnfs Flaws

* Very long way from dCache to the pnfs name service

- PnfsManager
 Local I/O subsystem
 nfs 2 (client driver)
 nfs 2 server (pnfs) daemon
 db server of pnfs sub partition
 postgres database
- * Only one read/write lock per database (blocks whole database e.g. CMS)
- * Pnfs can not distinguish between dCache and other clients
- * Pnfs can only run on a single host
- * ('ls' on) Pnfs extremely slow if > 2000 files per directory.
- * Nfs 2 : Maps file system operations to too many nfs ops

 \Rightarrow Some dCache operations are mapped to > 200 nfs ops

★ Nfs 2 : File size limit < 2 Gbytes



- * Chimera provides the same functionality to dCache as Pnfs does.
- * Only the pnfs manager driver within the PnfsManager has to be adjusted.
- * Chimera is a Java API, a library and a database table layout.
- * Chimera doesn't have any server by itself.
- * Consequently it scales with performance of database backend.



Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest

HEPiX, Fall 2007

How does dCache interact with Chimera ?



Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest

HEPiX, Fall 2007



* The dCache PnfsManager talks directly to Chimera. (no intermediate layers).

* Chimera can distinguish between dCache core and the various client interfaces.

* Chimera allows ACLs to be plugged in (Posix implementation already av.)

* Differentiation between read and write locks through DB backend.

* *Performance independent of number of files per directory.*

* Arbitrary number of levels for user meta data – space reserved at creation time.

Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest HEPiX, Fall 2007

dCache.ORG



What does Chimera provide ? (cont'd)

* Chimera takes advantage of the performance of the chosen database back-end.

* If the database back-end can span various hosts, Chimera can do as well.

* Partitioning of large tables can help in later stage performance tuning.

* No central database table locks.

Chimera allows at least 10 times more dCache file access operations per second than pnfs (using postgres and similar hardware)

Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest

HEPiX, Fall 2007



★ Chimera is available at dCache.org with sufficient information on how to setup a dCache 1.8 with Chimera.

★ Edinburgh(gridPP) and Bari(INFN) are testing Chimera.

* OSG, VDT will start investigation mid of December.

* *dCache development is using Chimera intensively as namespace provider.*

* Pnfs to Chimera migration mechanisms available.

Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest HEPi

HEPiX, Fall 2007



★ NFS 4.1 is an NFS 4 extension which is aware of the fact that the back end storage system may have the same file stored on a set of different servers (pNFS, not to be confused with Pnfs).

★ The specification of NFS 4.1 is in its final phase.

★ Organizations like CITI, SUN, IBM, EMC, PANASSAS, NETAPP, Linux and dCache.org are active in the specification process.

Regular meetings with all the related developers including dCache.org.

Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest HEPiX, Fall 2007

Major advantages of NFS 4.1

Technical Advantages :

- ★ NFS 4.1 is aware of distributed data (as in dCache)
- ★ Faster (optimized) e.g.:
 - ★ Compound RPC calls
 - ★ 'Stat' produces 3 RPC calls in v3 but only one in v4
- ★ GSS authentication
 - Built in <u>mandatory security</u> on file system level
- ★ ACL's on file level
- ★ OPEN / CLOSE semantic (so server can keep track of open files)
- ★ 'DEAD' client discovery (client side file lock renew within lease time)

Deployment Advantages :

Clients are coming for free (provided by all major OS vendors).

dCache.ORG



★ We would be able to distribute our data by means of a standard protocol in a posix like manner, without having to offer the client software, which would be provided by the OS providers.

★ While NFS 2/3 in dCache only exposes the name space, NFS 4.1 would make the data repository available as well.

★ in this perspective, Chimera with a NFSv4.1 door takes the role of MDS, while pools become Storage Devices.

* *dccp and srmcp will remain, of course*

Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest HEPiX, Fall 2007





Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest HEPiX, Fall 2007



Further reading

www.dCache.ORG

Fuhrmann, Mkrtchyan, v.d.Reest

HEPiX, Fall 2007