# CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF THE MUON COOLING CHANNEL MAGNET SYSTEM TO INCORPORATE RF CAVITIES

Steve Kahn May 28, 2014

### Introduction



- A helical cooling channel with a pressurized H<sub>2</sub> gas absorber imbedded in a magnetic channel that provides solenoid, helical dipole and helical quadrupole fields has shown promise in providing 6D cooling of the muon phase space.
- Muons traversing the cooling channel will lose energy in the H<sub>2</sub> gas, which must be replaced using RF cavities. A substantial fraction of the channel length must be filled with these cavities.
- The magnetic field necessary to hold the beam on the helical path is represented by helical harmonics:

Dipole Term:  

$$B_{\varphi} = 2b_{d}I_{1}(k\rho)\frac{\cos\vartheta}{k\rho}$$

$$B_{\rho} = 2b_{d}I'_{1}(k\rho)\sin\vartheta$$

$$B_{z} = -k\rho B_{\varphi}$$
Quadrupole Term:  

$$B_{\varphi} = \frac{b_{q}}{k}I_{2}(2k\rho)\frac{\cos 2(\vartheta - \vartheta_{2})}{k^{2}\rho}$$

$$B_{\rho} = \frac{b_{q}}{k}I'_{2}(2k\rho)\sin 2(\vartheta - \vartheta_{2})$$

$$B_{z} = -k\rho B_{\varphi}$$

#### 5/28/2014

# An Implementation of the Helical Cooling Channel

- The helical harmonic magnet components are difficult to implement directly with helical multipole fields outside a solenoid since the  $I_n(nk\rho)$  Bessel functions grow exponentially with radius producing very large fields on the coils.
- The most effective approach to implementing the desired field is a helical solenoid (HS) channel composed of short solenoid coils arranged in a helical pattern.
  - These short solenoid coils create an excess of B<sub>z</sub> which must be accounted for.
- The HS channel is placed inside an external solenoid to allow for the independent setting of B<sub>0</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> on the reference orbit. Setting the dB<sub>0</sub>/dr for optimum focusing requires an additional degree of freedom.
  - We will discuss options for dB/dr.





### Constraints Imposed on Magnet System



- The inner coil radius must be large enough to contain the RF cavity plus some thermal isolation space.
  - Note that larger radius coils have less dB/dr which is needed for focusing. We will consider alternate approaches to obtain this focusing.
- The coils and cavities would be arranged into cells such that the number of cells per period would match the number of cavities per period.
  - Longer cavities will reduce the peak power losses since there are fewer walls.
    - This means fewer coils per period. The current design has ~ten cells/period.
- For the HS to provide the desired  $B_z$  for the HCC, an antisolenoid is needed to buck the excess built-up solenoid field.

# **RF Cavity Parameters**



• These parameters chosen from F. Marhauser's spread sheet for dielectric loaded cavities (dated 15-Jul-13).

Section	Units	1	2	3	4	5
Frequency	MHz	325	325	325	650	650
Radius	mm	280.2	280.2	280.2	140.1	140.1
Length	mm	77.3	69.0	60.7	35.7	27.3
Cavities/Period		13	13	13	13	13
Ceramic Inner Radius	mm	92	92	92	46	46



#### Parameters Describing the HCC Sections

Section		1	2	3	4	5	6
frequency	MHz	325	325	325	650	650	650
$\lambda$ , period	m	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.3
κ, pitch		1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.
a, ref. orbit	cm	15.92	14.32	12.73	7.96	6.37	4.77
B, cyclotron	Т	5.541	6.157	6.927	11.08	13.85	18.47
$B_{\phi}$ on ref.	Т	1.289	1.432	1.611	2.577	3.222	4.296
B <sub>z</sub> on ref.	Т	4.253	4.725	5.316	8.505	10.631	14.175
dB <sub>\u00e9</sub> /dr on ref	T/m	-0.502	-0.620	-0.785	-2.010	-3.140	-5.583

The last three rows specify the field that we want to achieve. The HS channel needs to be designed to accomplish that.

# **Optimizing the Field**



- $B_{\phi}$  and  $B_z$  can be set to the desired values by adjusting the currents in the HCC coils and the global anti-solenoid.
  - There are limits on the size of the anti-solenoid field because of cost considerations.
  - We do anticipate that the anti-solenoid fields can be made small enough that those coils can be wound with NbTi conductor.
- The field derivative,  $dB_{\phi}/dr$ , which controls the focusing is more difficult to control. There are several techniques which can affect  $dB_{\phi}/dr$ .

# Controlling dB<sub>0</sub>/dr



- There are several techniques to be used which can affect dB<sub>φ</sub>/dr. Because the coil radius is large, adjusting it provides very little field gradient.
  - Placing the reference orbit and cavities away from the coil center will generate gradient.
    - If the ref. orbit is placed toward the helix center one obtains a gradient of the proper sign.
    - This leads to larger coils which typically have larger field on the coils, which we would like to avoid.
  - Deform the coils into an elliptical shape. This has a significant effect on dB/dr and can be used to achieve the desired gradient in most cases.
  - Tilting the coils. Not only does this have a beneficial effect on dB/dr, it also produces a helical dipole.
    - This additional contribution to  $B_{\phi}$  allows for a reduction of current in the coils.
    - Because the current is reduced the B<sub>z</sub> from the HS is smaller, reducing the anti-solenoid required.

### Field Profiles for Different Elliptical Coil Asymmetries for Section 5 of the HCC

Section 5 Bphi





# Tilting the Coils

- The upper figure shows the coil configuration for Section 4 with 300 mr coil tilt. The lower figure shows Section 2 with 250 mr coil tilt.
- It is envisioned that the limiting allowed tilt would be from 200 to 250 mr to allow space between the coils.
- To provide room to tilt the coils the coil fill factor fraction is chosen to be 50%.
  - This requires larger current densities to compensate.
  - However it allows larger free space of RF services, etc.



# Representative Coil Geometries for Sections 1-5



- Note that we used both elliptical coils and tilted coils in some of these cases.
- Note that section 5 uses 975 MHz cavities instead of 650 MHz to reduce the coil radius.

# Fields Associated with these Representative Cases

Segment	J <sub>E</sub> ,	$B_{\phi}$	dB/dr	Anti- Solenoid	B <sub>z</sub> at coil	$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{\phi}}$ at coil	B at coil
	A/mm <sup>2</sup>	Т	T/m	Т	Т	Т	Т
1	216	1.289	-0.609	-1.13	8.85	2.64	9.24
2	289	1.432	-0.651	-2.79	11.25	3.50	11.78
3	304	1.611	-0.677	-3.66	12.50	3.88	13.09
4	320	2.479	-2.135	-6.08	16.16	4.56	16.79
5	347	3.220	-3.129	-7.33	18.85	5.22	19.56

- The *anti-solenoid* fields are now more manageable. They could be wound with NbTi.
- The fields on the coils for section 5 are large. I will comment more.

# Fields on the Coil



• The field on the coil will limit the current density that the coil can carry



- The field on the coil is shown for section 4. The figure on the right shows the high field region is near the helix axis where the coil apertures overlap.
- The figure on the left shows |B| vs x at the coil. The peak field is on the inner coil surface with a rapid falloff within the conductor.

### Section 5 is Near the Feasibility Limit



Decreasing the cavity radius requires more ceramic which increases the peak power.

Limiting  $J_E$  is 50% of measured  $J_c$  for the conductor

### Section 5 comments

- Reducing the coil radius may not be possible, because reducing the RF cavity radius would increase the peak power, since we would need more dielectric to achieve that.
- If we kept the preferred radius, we would need Bi-2212 conductor which we would like to avoid.
- The best approach may be to ease the dB<sub>φ</sub>/dr specification. Katsuya's presentation indicates that the cost to doing that may not be large. We plan to look into that.

## Forces on Tilted Coils

- There are two issues with coil forces:
  - What are the forces between an individual coil and the HCC coil lattice.
  - What are the hoop stresses on a single coil from the HCC coil lattice.

#### This affects the conductor strain limit.



Total forces in 30° sections for the section 4 coils with 200 mr tilt.

F<sub>x</sub>

 $F_v$ 

 $F_z$ 

## Integrated Forces and Torques

Segment	Tilt Angle, mr	Local Horizontal Force <i>,</i> F <sub>x</sub> newtons	Torque about local x-direction, $\tau_x$ N-m
3	0	-2.05×10 <sup>6</sup>	2.57×10 <sup>5</sup>
3	200	-3.18×10 <sup>6</sup>	-3.83×10 <sup>4</sup>
3	250	-2.88×10 <sup>6</sup>	-1.12×10 <sup>5</sup>
3	300	-2.67×10 <sup>6</sup>	-1.72×10 <sup>5</sup>
4	0	-1.46×10 <sup>6</sup>	8.10×10 <sup>4</sup>
4	200	-1.65×10 <sup>6</sup>	-1.57×10 <sup>4</sup>
4	300	-1.83×10 <sup>6</sup>	-6.64×10 <sup>4</sup>

Integrated forces and torques on a single coil from the other coils in the HCC. Note that  $F_y$ ,  $F_z$ ,  $\tau_y$ , and  $\tau_z$  are zero by symmetry.

## Conclusions

- We have looked at how conceive of the HCC magnet channel with the desired field allowing room for RF cavities.
- In order to do this we have used elliptically shaped coils and we have tilted the coils to provide some of the helical dipole. This has allowed us to reduce the anti-solenoid required.
- This is a work in progress. We can expect modifications in the future.