

## MAP IB Meeting Program Updates and Review Planning

Mark Palmer 6/18/2014

## What do we know?



#### • Review

- Scope will cover all of MAP activities
  - Management and technical issues will be reviewed
  - One focus will be MICE construction and exploitation
    - Will involve STFC/RAL/MICE personnel
    - Have received a preliminary planning budget profile for a 3-year conclusion of MICE
  - Proposal for activities for which to initially recolor MAP FY15 budget to GARD
    - MTA R&D effort
    - Advanced concepts for neutrino and cold muon sources
    - Have received confirmation from Jim that we can propose to re-color as a coherent activity that will then fall under the next round of GARD reviews ↔ ie, provide a coherent ramp-down
  - Note: After a meeting with Don, Marty, and Andy, I would have to say that there appear to be gross misconceptions about how our funding is spent this could be problematic
- Review date has slipped somewhat due to many conflicts
  - Week of August 11
  - Likely 3 days duration
  - Probable location: BNL
- Committee members are not yet designated
- Note letters to Steve Ritz and Jim Siegrist are generating responses
  - I would recommend that the IB members consider this
  - For instance, Dan Kaplan has already sent a note

# Items for IB Support



- Need help preparing for the review:
  - Have been requested to prepare a comprehensive list of publications and proceedings
    - In order to ensure completeness, I would propose to have the IB representatives assemble complete lists for their institutions (including theses) for the period 2010-present
    - I will ask Maura to coordinate a master document
  - For PIs with students and/or post-docs
    - Please send me an updated set of their expected completion schedules
    - Will do my best to preserve the younger members of the team
  - We need a new IB chair to interact with the agencies and committees ASAP
    - What is the likely election schedule?
  - Also, we are moving ahead with a JINST dedicated volume
    - Very supportive
    - Very pragmatic submission rules (append as articles arrive)

## Questions



- Would like to ask the board for any thoughts they have on how they would like to interact with the process moving forward.
  - With P5
  - With DOE/NSF
  - With the Accelerator R&D Panel
- Conflicts of Interest concerns?