



Recent neutron irradiation experiments on HTS coated conductors and Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn wires

> M. Eisterer Atominstitut, TU Wien Stadionallee 2, 1020 Vienna, Austria









# Outline

- Neutron Irradiation
  - TRIGA reactor
  - Neutron induced damage
- HTS coated conductor for fusion magnets
  - EUROFUSION
- Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn for accelerator magnets
  - LHC Upgrade (collaboration with CERN)
- Comparison: Coated conductors and Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn
- Conclusions







### Acknowledgments



**Rainer Prokopec** 



Thomas Baumgartner



**David Fischer** 



Harald Weber



Former PhD students: Johann Emhofer, Michal Chudy Samples provided by AMSC, SuperPower, SuNam Funding: EFDA/EUROFUSION, CERN



# NEUTRON IRRADIATION AND RESULTING DEFECT STRUCTURE









# **TRIGA MARK II Reactor**

Neutron flux determination in 1985: Thermal (<0.55 eV) / fast (>0.1 MeV) flux density:  $6.1/7.6 \times 10^{16} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \gamma$  - radiation: ~ 1MGy/h

Core renewed in 2012: fast neutron flux density of ~ 4.1× 10<sup>16</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>





Nickel monitor is used in each irradiation!





#### **Neutron energy distribution**







## **Neutron Irradiation: Created Defects (Cuprates)**

Direct collisions (high energy (fast) neutrons E>0.1 MeV)

Largest defects: collision cascades  $\emptyset \sim 5 \text{ nm}$ Density  $5 \cdot 10^{22} \text{ m}^{-3}$  at a fluence of  $10^{22} \text{ m}^{-2}$  $(d_{av} \sim 27 \text{ nm}, B_{\phi} \sim 3 \text{ T})$ 



Clusters of point defects









### **Defect structure YBCO: small defects**

#### Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS) Slovak University of Technology: Cu-O di-vacancies

#### Veterníková et al., J. Fusion Energy 31 (2012) 89



Table 1 Typical lifetime for bulk and defects [22-24]





# **Defect structure YBCO: small defects**

Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS): Cu-O di-vacancies

Veterníková et al., J. Fusion Energy 31 (2012) 89





Cu-O di-vacancy concentration: highly non-linear with fluence!





# **Neutron Irradiation: Created Defects**

#### Neutron capture reactions (low energy neutrons)

 $^{157}Gd + n \rightarrow {}^{158}Gd + \gamma \ (\sigma \sim 2x10^5 \ b) \\ Recoil energy: \sim 30 \ eV \rightarrow single displaced atom$ 







### Shielding of thermal neutrons

#### Irradiation inside Cd-foil: Removes the low energy neutrons (E<0.55 eV) Better simulation of a fusion spectrum





# HTS COATED CONDUCTORS FOR FUSION MAGNETS











Production of 14 MeV neutrons – deposition of energy in the "first wall"  $\rightarrow$  substantial material problems (~1 MW/m<sup>2</sup>)!

At the magnet location: Attenuation by a factor of ~ 10<sup>6</sup>. Scattering processes lead to a "thermalization" of the neutrons!





#### **Neutron Energy Distribution**









### Samples

#### AMSC 344C Amperium (ASC-40)

- RABiTS template
- REBCO by MOD Y:Dy:Ba:Cu=1:0.5:2:3 (1.2 μm)
- Brass laminated
- SuperPower SCS4050/SCS4050-AP
  - Hastelloy MgO-IBAD Template
  - GdBCO by MOD (1 μm)
  - BZO nano-particles (SCS4050-AP)
- SuNam
  - SS MgO-IBAD Template
  - GdBCO by RCE-DR (1.35 µm)







#### **Decrease in Transition Temperature**





Decrease in  $T_c$ : ~2.5 K at a fluence of 10<sup>22</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> (2.7%)





# Critical currents of 344C (AMSC old)

H||ab @ 50 K



- Small I<sub>c</sub> enhancement at high fields after irradiation to 0.6·10<sup>22</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>
- Strong I<sub>c</sub> reduction at higher fluences





### **Critical currents of 344C**

H||c @ 64 K and 40 K



- Temperature dependent I<sub>c</sub> enhancement
- Degradation starts after irradiation to 1.0·10<sup>22</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> at 64 K and after 2.9·10<sup>22</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> at 40 K.







#### **Normalized Critical Currents**





Maximum at low fluence.

Maximum at around 2.3·10<sup>22</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>. Lower temperatures: Slower degradation.





# I<sub>c</sub>-Anisotropy

344C @ 64 K / 2 T



- ab-peak heavily suppressed after first irradiation step
- Local minimums are found close to main field orientations





# I<sub>c</sub>-Anisotropy

ASC-40 @ 60 K / 5 T



- ab-peak heavily suppressed after irradiation
- Peaks in pristine tape turns into minimums after irradiation









I<sub>c</sub>-Anisotropy

#### SuNam @ 5 T / 64 K







# WHICH DEFECTS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR FLUX PINNING/DEGRADATION?









#### Large vs. small defects





Density of collision cascades differ by a factor of about 5!





#### **Displaced atoms**

1) Without Cd-screen (fast/thermal neutron fluence: 6/5.10<sup>21</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>)

n- $\gamma$ : 5·10<sup>21</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> · 61 kbarn · 0.145 (Gd-155) · 1/13 = 3 ·10<sup>-4</sup> dpa n- $\gamma$ : 5·10<sup>21</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> · 254 kbarn · 0.157 (Gd-157) · 1/13 = 1.5 ·10<sup>-3</sup> dpa Cacscades: 3·10<sup>22</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> · 500 / 2.7·10<sup>28</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> = 5.5 ·10<sup>-4</sup> dpa **Total: 2.3 ·10<sup>-3</sup> dpa** 

2) With Cd-screen (fast neutron fluence: 2.9.10<sup>22</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>)

Cacscades: 1.45.10<sup>23</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> · 500 / 2.7.10<sup>28</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> = **2.6** ·10<sup>-3</sup> dpa







### Large vs. small defects (melt textured YBCO)





Linear scaling with total (cascades+di-vacancies+original) defect density! Different "stable dpa" similar  $J_c$ .



# NB<sub>3</sub>SN FOR ACCELERATOR MAGNETS









## 5 types of state-of-the-art Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn wires

- Ta-alloyed RRP (restack-rod process), 54 sub-elements
- Ti-alloyed RRP, 108 sub-elements
- Binary IT (internal tin), 246 sub-elements
- Ta-alloyed PIT (powder-in-tube), 192 sub-elements
- Ta-alloyed PIT, 114 sub-elements











#### **Decrease in Transition Temperature: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn**





Decrease in T<sub>c</sub>: ~0.35 K at a fluence of  $10^{22}$  m<sup>-2</sup> (2%)





# Critical Current: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn





Maximum of  $J_c$  at a fluence of about 2.5.10<sup>22</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>





# **Pinning Mechanism**

- Possible contributions of other pinning mechanisms<sup>1</sup> were investigated to explain the observed shift in the pinning function
- $f(b) = \alpha b^{p_1} (1-b)^{q_1} + \beta b^{p_2} (1-b)^{q_2}$
- $p_1$  and  $q_1$  correspond to the unirradiated state,  $\alpha + \beta = 1$
- Shift can be explained with a point-pinning contribution<sup>2</sup> ( $p_2 = 1, q_2 = 2$ ) which increases with fluence







<sup>1</sup> D. Dew-Hughes: *Phil. Mag.* **30**, 293–305, 1974 <sup>2</sup> T. Baumgartner et al.: *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **27**, 015005, 2014





# **Point Pinning**

- Possible contributions of other pinning mechanisms<sup>1</sup> were investigated to explain the observed shift in the pinning function
- $f(b) = \alpha b^{p_1} (1-b)^{q_1} + \beta b^{p_2} (1-b)^{q_2}$
- $p_1$  and  $q_1$  correspond to the unirradiated state,  $\alpha + \beta = 1$
- Shift can be explained with a point-pinning contribution<sup>2</sup> ( $p_2 = 1, q_2 = 2$ ) which increases with fluence







<sup>1</sup> D. Dew-Hughes: *Phil. Mag.* **30**, 293–305, 1974 <sup>2</sup> T. Baumgartner et al.: *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **27**, 015005, 2014





# **Point Pinning**



- Point-pinning contribution was evaluated as a function of fast neutron fluence for all examined wires
- Same trend for all wire types
- Steep increase at low fluences, followed by saturation behavior







## Critical Currents: Comparison YBCO – Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn



H∥c 15 T



Which compound is more robust against radiaton?





# Conclusions

- The defect structure relevant for the change of J<sub>c</sub> following neutron irradiation has to be identified and related to the damage mechanism in order to make reliable predictions of the conductor life-time in accelerator magnets.
  - Microstructural investigations
  - Comparison of different irradiation experiments
  - Modelling of stable defects
  - Annealing?
- The radiation resistance decreases at higher temperatures. Restriction to low temperatures (LH<sub>2</sub>?).
- Similar radiation hardness for coated conductors and Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn at low temperatures.

