

Mu2e CD-2 DOE Review Accelerator Radiation Safety Improvements 475.02.04

A. Leveling



L3 Manager, Accelerator Radiation Safety 10/21-24/2014

WBS 475.02.04 Radiation Safety Improvements

Scope includes:

- Beam enclosure interlocks
 - Radiation Safety Systems
 - Electrical Safety Systems
- ODH for the Solenoid Rooms
- Muon Campus Total Loss Monitor systems
 - AP1 line to Delivery Ring
 - Delivery Ring
 - M4 beam line
- In Tunnel shielding
 - Delivery Ring at AP30 extraction
 - Temporary shield wall at the M4/M5 intersection
 - M4 line shield wall at Diagnostic Absorber
- Other
- Friskers, wallflowers, air monitors





10/21-24/14

Requirements

- Fermilab Radiological Controls Manual (FRCM)
 - Key requirements:
 - Prompt radiation dose rate outside beam enclosure shields
 - Includes radiation skyshine
 - Residual activation in the beam enclosures
 - Most notable are AP30 extraction and PS Room
 - Entry Controls
 - AP30 service building not accessible during operations
 - Radiological Postings
 - Muon campus beam lines will require Controlled Area posting
 - Air activation
 - Surface water activation
 - Groundwater activation
 - Preliminary shielding assessments
 - Required before going out for construction bids
 - Exemption Request to allow use of interlocked radiation detectors in lieu of passive shielding
- The Radiation Safety Plan has to accommodate 8 kW operation



🗲 Fermilab

Design Assumptions

- Antiproton Source Facilities designed for 13 watts, 8 GeV
- Repurposed facilities now to operate at 8 kW, 8 GeV
- Available passive shielding is insufficient to meet requirements of FRCM
- Design basis:
 - the distribution of beam power lost
 - not the beam power delivered
 - this point will be illustrated
- The design must simultaneously:
 - meet all requirements for the FRCM, e.g.,
 - Limit the severity of single-pulse, beam loss within the time-weighted average FRCM dose limits
 - Not require inordinate beam operation interruption to recover from interlocked radiation detector trips
 - Implies a critical level of shielding is required for the facility
 - accommodate normal beam losses

Design Assumptions

- Known loss points
 - AP30 extraction region
 - Delivery Ring cleanup abort dump
 - Extinction Collimators
 - Diagnostic Absorber
 - Mu2e Production Solenoid
 - Mu2e Main Beam Absorber
- Other potential loss points
 - Delivery Ring Injection
 - Delivery Ring Cleanup Abort beam line
 - Delivery Ring distributed losses due to beam scattering at extraction region
- The design assumes:
 - beam loss at known loss points
 - no significant losses at other potential loss points

10/21-24/14

🗲 Fermilab

Design Activities

- An in-tunnel shield design has been produced to shield the AP30 extraction region
 - Can be adapted to other locations if found to be required
- A new radiation beam loss detector has been developed and characterized
 - Total Loss Monitor System
 - Provides continuous coverage of beam loss through areas of common shield thickness
 - Conservatively limits total beam loss based upon trip levels established for single point beam loss
 - Serves as active protection in the capacity of a credited safety system
 - Preliminary approval has been given by the ESH&Q Section
 - Complete systems are now being deployed at Booster accelerator
- MARS simulations for TLM detector have been produced to predict response
- MARS simulations and measurements have been made to study shield/TLM design combinations for beam loss conditions



Mu2e

In-tunnel shielding at AP30 extraction region

- The design for in-tunnel shielding has been created
 - Modular
 - Requires unobstructed clearance above the loss points
 - Cable trays, especially at AP30, have to be re-routed
 - This is already underway
 - Aisle shield is included to limit worker radiation exposure (ALARA)
 - Shield design has been incorporated into the resonant extraction line MARS simulation







Mu2e

10/21-24/14

MARS simulation is used to predict beam loss and prompt radiation effective dose with in-tunnel shielding



Plan view Proton beam through the Electrostatic septa, quads, Lambertson, and cmagnet Elevation view Full extraction system model with in-tunnel shielding

Mu₂e

8

10/21-24/14

🛟 Fermilab

Extraction system model detail

A detailed model of the aisle shield and the exit stairway near the extraction region is included in the MARS simulation





¢



Mu2e

9

MARS simulation results for AP30 service building region



- Line 1: Delivery Ring centerline 24 mrem/hr
- Line 2: Tunnel outer concrete edge 1 to 5 mrem/hr
- Line 3: Edge of service building
- Line 3/4: Parking Lot 0.05 to 2 mrem/hr
- Line 5: Edge of Indian Road <0.05 mrem/hr



Normal losses at extraction region running at 8 kW

Includes direct and skyshine contributions Units are mrem/hr

🔁 🛠 Fermilab

Mu₂e

10/21-24/14

MARS simulation result for radiation skyshine – mrem/hr

Radiation skyshine has been calculated using the model for slow resonant extraction at AP30.

This model has a radius of 5 km and a height of 10 km.



Mu₂e

MARS simulation result for radiation skyshine

Annual effective dose rate due to skyshine from continuous operation of resonant extraction at AP30



A. Leveling - Radiation Safety Improvements

🛟 Fermilab

^{10/21-24/14}

MARS simulation result for radiation skyshine

In addition, the annual effective dose rate at Wilson Hall as a function of floor has been calculated based on the extraction system model.
 Radiation dose rates are acceptable



10/21-24/14

🔁 Fermilab

13 A. Leveling - Radiation Safety Improvements

Mu2e

Booster Beam power/beam loss illustration & TLM Response



A thirty-eight day operating period July 14 -> August 21, 2014



🛟 Fermilab 10/21-24/14

A. Leveling - Radiation Safety Improvements 14

NuMI Beam power/beam loss illustration & TLM Response

- 800 foot TLM installed (green trace) at NuMI
- 250 kW beam transmission (red trace) & minimal losses
- Losses are quite insignificant except when wire chambers are inserted for tuning



A nine day operating period October 20-> October 29, 2013

Mu₂e

🗲 Fermilab

TLM detector cable



15 cm length of cable, disassembled

MARS model of detector cable components has been produced; MARS simulations have been compared with experimental data



сm

It may not be possible to make measurements for every TLM detector installation; consequently, it is important to have a method to predict TLM response



 16
 A. Leveling - Radiation Safety Improvements

Changes since CD-1

- Developed detailed MARS models for
 - Slow resonant extraction system
 - In-tunnel shielding
 - Skyshine
 - TLM detector cable
- Developed a remote method to monitor TLM detector gas flow at 25 cc/minute with commercially available detector
- Added scope external beam line shielding walls
 - M4/M5
 - M4 line DS of diagnostic absorber
- Developed TLM electrometer & heartbeat
- Expanded our understanding of TLM system response (Booster, NuMI, pbar)
- Found an application of the TLM system which should accelerator the approval process
 - Booster CY14

Mu2e



Value Engineering since CD-1

- Changed TLM detector gas from argon to argon/CO₂
 - Lower sensitivity
 - flatter response
 - Lower detector gas cost
 - Increases the dynamic range of the TLM system



10/21-24/14

Mu₂e

TLM review status

- TLM testing continues following preliminary approval
 - Entire Booster has been outfitted with detector systems
 - 1/8 of the Booster has a parallel redundant TLM system
 - Existing credited safety system inputs (chipmunks) will run in parallel with the new TLM system
 - Upon completion of a test period and system documentation, we will seek final approval from ESH&Q Section
 - Additional MARS simulations, measurements, and inter-comparisons are planned
 - MI-52
 - MI-30
 - NuMI
 - ASTA
 - TLM approval is expected well in advance of Mu2e



Mu2e

Organizational Breakdown





10/21-24/14

Fermilab

Quality Assurance

- In-tunnel shielding
- AD mechanical engineer created structural design
- Design is documented in an engineering note
- Design is subject to two reviews:
 - Facility Engineering Services Section reviewed the design for compatibility with tunnel enclosure structures
 - AD Mechanical Review committee
- Installation oversight is by AD Mechanical Support Department engineers
- •

Mu2e

- Radiation and Electrical Safety Systems
- Proven design in use for decades at the Laboratory
- The RSS and ESS designs are subject to review and approval by the ESH&Q Section
- RSS and ESS undergo thorough testing every 6 months



Quality Assurance

• TLM system design

- System design is subject to review and approval by the ESH&Q section.
- TLM system installation is under the purview of the AD ES&H Department Interlocks Group.
- Initial testing of the detector and electrometer installation is by AD ES&H Department Interlocks Group
- The TLM system will be subject to periodic calibration and testing by AD ES&H Department Interlocks Group
- Specific applications of TLM systems are subject to review by the Laboratory's Shielding Review Committee (SRC) in conjunction with the shielding review process
- Final approval is by the ESH&Q Section Head



Mu2e

Risks – Radiation Safety Improvements

Risk ID	Risk Form	Risk	Impact	Probability	Action
ACCEL-0201	3333	TLMs cannot be used to limit the intensity and duration of beam loss	Technical, Schedule	Very Low	pending
ACCEL-017 ²	3332	Radiation levels outside of the mu2e facility are too high	Schedule	Low	Transferred to operations
ACCEL-152 ³	3838	Need to install additional Delivery Ring tunnel shielding (list B)	Technical	Medium	Transferred to operations
ACCEL-150 ³	3839	Need to install additional DR tunnel shielding (list C)	Cost	Low	Transferred to operations

NOTES:

- 1. Preliminary Approval has been received for TLMs. Expect final approval in CY 2015.
- 2. Improved MARS simulation with extraction device details indicate losses will be low enough. We will need to tune up machines to reduce losses to acceptable levels and believe this will be possible.
- 3. Based upon observation of losses at other machines, we believe it is possible to run with very low losses, e.g., NuMI, Booster. We will need to tune up machines to reduce losses to acceptable levels and believe this will be possible.





ES&H

- Air activation
 - No unusual issues
- Surface water activation
 - No unusual issues
- Groundwater activation
 No unusual issues
- Residual radiation
 - ALARA planning
 - Extraction Region Maintenance
 - Target change out



24 A. Leveling - Radiation Safety Improvements

Mu₂e

Cost Table

WBS 2.4 Accelerator Radiation Safety Improvements				Costs are fully burdened in AY \$k			
	Base Cost (AY K\$)						
	M&S	Labor	Total	Estimate Uncertainty (on remaining budget)	% Contingency on (on remaining budget)	Total Cost	
475.02.04.01 AP1 Line to Delivery Ring Total Loss Monitor System	38	37	74	18	30%	92	
475.02.04.02 Delivery Ring Radiation Safety Upgrades	597	331	929	236	32%	1,164	
475.02.04.03 External Beamline Safety System	180	135	316	102	36%	417	
475.02.04.04 Mu2e Safety Systems	171	164	335	74	22%	409	
475.02.04.05 Technical Documentation		368	368	67	23%	434	
Grand Total	986	1,034	2,021	497	29%	2,517	

Mu2e

Fermilab

Cost Breakdown – Radiation Safety Improvements

Base Cost by L4 (AY \$k)



- 475.02.04.01 AP1 Line to Delivery Ring Total Loss Monitor System
- 475.02.04.02 Delivery Ring Radiation Safety Upgrades
- ■475.02.04.03 External Beamline Safety System
- 475.02.04.04 Mu2e Safety Systems
- 475.02.04.05 Technical Documentation





10/21-24/14

🛟 Fermilab

Quality of Estimate

Base Cost by Estimate Type (AY\$k)



96% of the estimate is Preliminary or better

L1 Actual / M1 Existing P.O.

- L2 LOE Task / M2 Procurements for LOE/Oversight Work
- L3 / M3 Advanced
- L4 / M4 Preliminary
- L5 / M5 Conceptual
- L6 / M6 Pre-Conceptual



Mu₂e

FTEs by Discipline



10/21-24/14

Major Milestones

47502.04.001050	T5 - Mu2e Radiation Safety System Conceptual Design Complete	28-Jun-12 A	Τ5
47502.04.001060	T5 - Mu2e Radiation Safety System Preliminary Engineering Design Complete	20-Dec-13 A	Τ5
47502.04.02.1.001004	T5 - Resolution of revisions to beam line geometry at M4 line at extraction from delivery ring	20-Dec-13 A	Τ5
47502.04.001090	T5 - M4 Shield Wall Ready for g-2 Beam Operations	28-Feb-17	Τ5
47502.04.03.1.001080	T5 - External Beamline Safety System Interlocks Complete	18-Apr-17	Τ5
47502.04.02.1.001075	T5 - Start Procurement for Delivery Ring In-Tunnel Shielding	2-Oct-17	Τ5
47502.04.04.2.1050	T5 - External Beamline Enclosure Safety Systems Complete	24-Apr-18	T5
47502.04.04.4.1050	T4 - ODH Safety System Installation Complete	25-Apr-18	Τ4
47502.04.04.1.1110	T4 - Target Hall Safety Systems Complete	18-Jul-19	Τ4
47502.04.03.3.001087	T5 - Ready to Move M4 Shield Wall to Diagnostic Absorber	3-Sep-19	Τ5
47502.04.03.3.001110	T5 - External Beamline In-Tunnel Shielding Complete	14-Oct-19	Τ5
47502.04.02.2.001150	T4 - Delivery Ring TLM System Complete	7-Jan-20	Τ4
47502.04.1080	T5 - Radiation Safety ready for beam to the diagnostic absorber	29-Jan-20	Τ5
47502.04.04.001000	T5 - Mu2e Safety Systems Complete	26-Feb-20	Τ5
47502.04.02.001000	T5 - Delivery Ring Radiation Safety Upgrades Complete	21-Apr-20	Τ5
47502.04.001082	T3 - Radiation Safety Improvements Complete	22-Jul-20*	Т3



‡ Fermilab

Schedule



10/21-24/14

Summary

- A preliminary design for Radiation Safety Improvements is complete
- The design anticipates final approval of the TLM system in CY15



Extra Slides





Booster 6 to 8 GeV beam loss study and TLM response

- Booster accident condition, ramping radial position late in the acceleration cycle
 - 6 to 8 GeV
 - TLM response (magenta)
 - Booster beam intensity (red)
 - chipmunk response (yellow)
 - TLM trip level (green)
- The TLM trip would occur in seconds rather than in minutes as would occur with the existing protection scheme
- NOTE!!! Booster operation would not be curtailed by unnecessary safety system trips but is turned off promptly in the event of severe accident condition





Mu₂e

TLM prototype electrometer





34 A. Leveling - Radiation Safety Improvements

Mu₂e

Booster 400 MeV beam loss study and TLM response



Labor and Materials



10/21-24/14

Facility overview



• Exit stairway details are included in the simulation





Normal 8 kW beam operation – Skyshine only Total effective dose rate (mrem/hr) vs distance in tissue detector





DIRECT model angle of interest

500 m radii circles Centered on AP10 AP30 AP50 Service buildings









Mu2e

🛟 Fermilab

Effective dose rate (mrem/hr) – 8 kW normal losses vs. altitude



Layers 1 through 5



 $\frac{1}{2}$ y 1.1 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

Layers 6 through 10

SATIF 2014

Mu₂e

April 28, 2014

A. Leveling

41

8 kW beam operation with 1.25% extraction losses

Annual effective dose rate due to skyshine from continuous operation of resonant extraction at AP30



DIRECT model

DIRECT model tissue equivalent detector shell subdivided into 576 bins

Root Geometry:

- Cylindrical shell
- 500 m radius
- 0.3 m thick
- 70 m high
- Divided into 16 levels
- Divided in azimuth into 36 ten degree segments
- Purpose: To capture effective dose rate at Wilson Hall as a function of floor
- An addition to the SKYSHINE model
- Used for determination of effective dose rate at Wilson Hall
- No credit taken for the massive shielding afforded by the concrete structure
- Azimuthal angle of interest is 23 degrees CW wrt incident beam direction







Facility

overview

- AP30 Anti-proton source service building
 - 10 foot tunnel shield in service building
 - sufficient for 13 watts of 8 GeV protons
- Building is to be repurposed for mu2e experiment
 - 10 foot shield remains unchanged
 - Mu2e requires 8 kW of 8 GeV protons
 - Beam is to be extracted beneath the service building by 1/3 integer, slow resonant extraction
 - A lossy process
- In addition, there is an exit stairway just downstream of the beam extraction point



Extraction system



• Extraction system is modeled with full details of magnets, star chambers, magnetic fields, and extraction septa foils (850)





Extraction system





- Extraction system tuning was required to optimize apertures and minimize losses
 - Diffusion section wires modeled as black holes to eliminate scattering during aperture scans

Mu2e Beam loss is determined to be 1.25%

🛟 Fermilab





Aisle way shield is required to limit worker exposure during maintenance activities

45 cm concrete 10 cm marble (not shown)





SATIF 2014

Mu₂e

April 28, 2014

A. Leveling

MARS simulations

- Stage 1 generate a particle source file at an intermediate surface, source term for Stage 2 run
- Stage 2 generate a particle source file at surface of service building floor for SKYSHINE and DIRECT calculations
- **SKYSHINE** calculation
 - Effective dose rate at ground level cm vs. distance from AP30 service buildir 1.05x10³
- **DIRECT** calculation

Mu2e

- Effective dose rate at Wilson Hall by floor elevation
 - Includes direct and skyshine contribution



Stage 1 & 2 total flux results – mars.hbook histograms



Mu2e Dashed lines indicate surface of shower particle collectionab

SKYSHINE model

- Concrete base, radius 5 km x 2m
- Tissue equivalent detector, 5 km x 0.3 m
- Atmosphere, 5 km radius x 10 km
- A histogram volume (air) 170 cm high and 100 m x 100 m is used to determine effective dose rates in service building, parking lot, and Indian Road
 - Stage 2 surface lies between TE detector and histogram volume (red horizontal bar)
 - Tissue equivalent detector volume is just below the Stage 2 surface
 - In histogram volume, effective dose contribution from Stage 2 surface and reflected SKYSHINE is calculated
 - The tissue detector only receives reflected shower particles since the Stage 2 surface is above it

Mu₂e









10 meter bins to 5 km



Radius is 5 km

Mu₂e

SKYSHINE model – air density correction technique



MATER.INP file A2B7 SKYSHINE calculation, 5 km - 7/31/13 1 'CONC' 2 'TISS' 3 'AIR' 0.00116889 4 'AIR' 0.00105969 5 'AIR' 0.000958479 6 'AIR' 0.000864832 7 'AIR' 0.000625341 10 'AIR' 0.000625341 10 'AIR' 0.000558071 11 'AIR' 0.000496482 12 'AIR' 0.000440233 STOP



Mu2e