Art Build: Unit Tests and CMake Tools

Ben Morgan

THE UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK

LBNE Code

LArSoft LArCore LArFoo LArBar ...

FNAL SDK : art + (fhicl, ..., cpp0x)

FNAL UPS : ups, gcc, ROOT, etc

Linux/BSD OS

LBNE Software Stack

Working on "FNAL SDK", Patrick on LArSoft and "UPS" layers

Part 1: Art Status and Unit Tests

The Foundation of LArSoft

Art: Repository Status

- GitHub copy of <u>Redmine Repo</u> :
 - <u>https://github.com/LBNE/fnal-art/</u>
- Github master == Redmine master (manual pull/push)
- Dedicated branch for removal of UPS/cetbuildtools:
 - <u>https://github.com/LBNE/fnal-art/tree/remove-ups-1.11.3/</u>
- Maintaining this with stable code from upstream v1.11.3

Art Build Status

- Since last report (Slides on Indico):
- Dictionary generation complete
- Bug fixes (thanks Patrick!) from first steps at worch integration
- Addition of unit tests

Art Unit Tests

- Mixture of Boost.Unit and CPPUnit (<u>Redmine #7029</u>)
- Added "FindCPPUnit.cmake" module
- Most tests added as of commit <u>6aa0a34</u>
- CMake option to enable build of tests, then run via
- \$ make
- \$ make test

Art Unit Tests Status

- Tests running for everything except
 - Integration, Framework/IO/Root, Framework/Principal
 - Latter two depend on Integration, which is very large
- Majority pass except for
 - GroupSelector_t: Appears to be path/naming issue with plugin lib
 - StateMachine_t_XY: Due to missing cetbuildtools supplied shell script, which must also be on the PATH

Art Build: Next Steps

- Finish addition of tests
- Resolve failing tests
- Patch as needed from worch install experience
- Provide CMake convenience tools for building plugins
- White Paper for detailed criticism of FNAL system and proposal of these fixes.

Part 2: Whither cetbuildtools?

If we need a CMake layer, how to do it properly.

Why use cetbuildtools?

• "Common" settings for builds - compiler flags etc

 "Convenience" CMake functions for building/installing stuff, e.g. "art_make"

• UPS integration - "easily" locate needed packages.

What's wrong with cetbuildtools?

- Build settings only considers GCC/Linux
- "Convenience" functions enforce a specific layout of source code, names and UPS install.
 - Heavy singular interfaces with many switches to work around exceptions
- Propagates itself into client projects so difficult to wall ourselves off from it
- Often contains functionality that is really Art-specific, or replaces perfectly good CMake builtins

Common CMake Functionality

- Nevertheless, having common CMake functionality in a base project can be useful
 - Extra FindXXX.cmake modules, e.g. FindTBB.cmake
 - Build settings and code generation macros (c.f. ROOT_GENERATE_DICTIONARY from ROOTConfig.cmake)
- Idea: Replace cetbuildtools, keeping needed functionality and implementing it properly.
- Inspiration: <u>KDE extra-cmake-modules</u>

"HEPCMake"

- Slightly overblown name, but intended to be general
 - Get it at: <u>https://github.com/drbenmorgan/HEPCMake</u>
- Partitions modules into task areas (finding stuff, enforcing a particular lab policy)
- Can be directly included in any project, or for sharing, installed as any other package.
- Feedback to upstream packages, e.g. ROOT dictionary generation via CMake not optimal? Speak to ROOT devs.

Using cetbuildtools...

#My CMake script...

SET(CETBUILDTOOLS_VERSION \$ENV{CETBUILDTOOLS_VERSION})

IF (NOT CETBUILDTOOLS_VERSION)
 MESSAGE (FATAL_ERROR "ERROR: setup cetbuildtools to get the cmake
modules")
ENDIF()

Using HEPCMake...

#My CMake script...

find_package(HEPCMake REQUIRED)

"artTools.cmake"

 Module used/installed by Art to provide better convenience wrappers following CMake style:

art_add_service(my_service my.h my.cc)
install(TARGETS my_service DESTINATION lib)

Instead of

art_make(EVERYTHING UNLESS SIDE EFFECTS)

Next Steps

Comments/Questions?

- Easy to add HEPCMake (or whatever name) into the worch build.
- Easy to locate
- Easy to use but needs decision on use and feedback!