

### HPC for the 99%

OSG Council, 1/14/2015

M. L. Norman, R. L. Moore, D. Baxter, G. Fox (Indiana U), A Majumdar, P Papadopoulos, W Pfeiffer, R. S. Sinkovits, S. Strande (NCAR), M. Tatineni, R. P. Wagner, N. Wilkins-Diehr, Frank Wuerthwein, UCSD/SDSC



# High-performance computing for the long tail of science

### • Comet goals (from NSF 13-528 solicitation)

- "... expand the use of high end resources to a much larger and more diverse community
- ... support the entire spectrum of NSF communities
- ... promote a more comprehensive and balanced portfolio
- ... include research communities that are not users of traditional HPC systems."



### HPC for the 99%

- 99% of jobs run on NSF's HPC resources in 2012 used <2,048 cores</li>
- And consumed >50% of the total core-hours across NSF resources







## **Key Strategies for Comet Users**

- Target modest-scale users and new users/communities: goal of <u>10,000 users/year</u>!
- Support <u>capacity computing</u>, with a system optimized for small/modest-scale jobs and quicker resource response using allocation/scheduling policies
- Build upon and expand efforts with <u>Science Gateways</u>, encouraging gateway usage and hosting via software and operating policies
- Provide a <u>virtualized cluster environment</u> (when requested) to support development of customized software stacks, and project control of workspaces



### **Comet: System Characteristics**

- Total peak flops 2 PF
- Dell primary integrator
  - Intel Haswell processors w/ AVX2
  - Mellanox FDR InfiniBand
- 1,944 standard compute nodes (47K cores)
  - Dual CPUs, each 12-core, 2.5 GHz
  - 128 GB DDR4 2133 MHz DRAM
  - 2\*160GB GB SSDs (local disk)
- 36 GPU nodes (Feb 2015)
  - Same as standard nodes *plus*
  - Two NVIDIA K80 cards, each with dual Kepler3 GPUs
- 4 large-memory nodes (April 2015)
  - 1.5 TB DDR4 1866 MHz DRAM
  - Four Haswell processors/node

- Hybrid fat-tree topology
  - FDR (56 Gbps) InfiniBand
  - Rack-level (72 nodes, 1,728 cores) full bisection bandwidth
  - 4:1 oversubscription cross-rack
- Performance Storage (Aeon)
  - 7.6 PB, 200 GB/s; Lustre
  - Scratch & Persistent Storage segments
- Durable Storage (Aeon)
  - 6 PB, 100 GB/s; Lustre
  - Automatic backups of critical data
- Gateway hosting nodes
- Virtual image repository
- Home directory storage
- 100 Gbps external connectivity to Internet2 & ESNet



# Single Root I/O Virtualization in HPC

- Problem: Virtualization generally has resulted in significant I/O performance degradation (e.g., excessive DMA interrupts)
- Solution: SR-IOV and Mellanox ConnectX-3
  InfiniBand host channel adapters
  - One physical function → multiple virtual functions, each light weight but with its own DMA streams, memory space, interrupts
  - Allows DMA to bypass hypervisor to VMs
- SRIOV enables virtual HPC cluster w/ nearnative InfiniBand latency/bandwidth and minimal overhead







### Latency Results: **QDR IB & 10 GbE, native and SR-IOV**

- SR-IOV with QDR InfiniBand
  - < 30% overhead for small</li> messages (<128 bytes)
    - < 10% overhead for eager</li> send/receive
  - Overhead  $\rightarrow$  0% for bandwidth-limited regime
- Amazon EC2 (10 GbE)
  - > 50X worse latency
  - Time dependent (noisy)



Figure 5. MPI point-to-point latency measured by osu\_latency for QDR InfiniBand. Included for scale are the analogous 10GbE measurements from Amazon (AWS) and nonvirtualized 10GbE.

7

# 50x less latency than Amazon EC2



## Bandwidth Results: QDR IB & 10 GbE, native and SR-IOV

- Comparison of bandwidth relative to native InfiniBand
- SR-IOV w/ QDR InfiniBand
  - < 2% bandwidth loss over entire range
  - > 95% peak bandwidth
- Amazon EC2 (10 GbE)
  - < 35% peak bandwidth</p>
  - While ratio of QDR/10GbE bandwidth is ~4X, EC2 bandwidth is 9-25X worse than SR-IOV IB



**Figure 6.** MPI point-to-point bandwidth measured by osu\_bw for QDR InfiniBand. Included for scale are the analogous 10GbE measurements from Amazon (AWS) and non-virtualized 10GbE.

### 10x more bandwidth than Amazon EC2



# **High Level Schedule**

- Jan 2015
  - Build hardware and component test

### • Feb 2015

- Software environment
- Integrated acceptance tests
- Reliability tests

### • March 2015

- Friendly users
- NSF review panel

### • April 2015

Production















#### **INDIANA UNIVERSITY**

This work supported by the National Science Foundation, award ACI-1341698.

SAN DIEGO SUPERCOMPUTER CENTER



at the UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA; SAN DIEGO