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## Background, Motivation, and Goals

Gaussian Distribution, Mean $=0$ Events $=1000000$

- Common in particle physics to measure asymmetries - in particular in collider experiments
- Often data can only be measured for a finite portion of the detector, must extrapolate to the total asymmetry

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\text {total }} & =\frac{(C+D)-(A+B)}{A+B+C+D} \\
A^{\text {finite }} & =\frac{C-B}{B+C}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Can we use a simple constant multiplicative factor $A^{\text {total }}=R \cdot A^{\text {finite }}$ ?
- If so, how much statistics needed to get reliable results, especially in the limit of small asymmetries?


Classic Example: forward-backward asymmetry ( $A_{F B}$ ) measured in collider detectors:


## Background, Motivation, and Goals

- We start with a single Gaussian with a mean of $\mu$ as a good working model to build a foundation and give good insights into more complicated distribution models
- Examples from collider physics have shown that this approximation sometimes works
- It is not obvious if a linear extrapolation technique should work
- Since we typically use MC methods to estimate such values, we need to understand whether we can confidently use a constant $R$ to linearly extrapolate, and understand the amount of statistics needed to get a reasonable measurement of it


## Study 1: Monte Carlo Simulation

- In our simple Gaussian model, $A$ is linearly proportional to $\mu$ (the mean of the distribution)
- Example: $\mu=0.1$ corresponds to $A^{\text {total }} \approx 8 \%$ which is what we typically see in forward-backward asymmetry top quark measurements at the Tevatron
- Run many MC pseudo-experiments each with a large number of events, get distributions for $A^{\text {total }}, A^{\text {finite }}$, and $R$ :


Finite Asymmetry, Mean $=0.1$ Events $=1 \mathrm{e}+06$


Ratio (finite/total), Mean $=0.1$ Events $=1 \mathrm{e}+06$


## Study 1: Monte Carlo Simulation

Ratio (finite/total), Mean $=0.1$ Events $=100000$


Ratio (finite/total), Mean $=0.1$ Events $=10000$


Ratio (finite/total), Mean $=0.1$ Events $=1000$


- With enough statistics (i.e. large $N$ ), measurements of $R$ are very accurate
- As $N$ decreases, measurement of $R$ becomes unreliable, and can no longer correctly reproduce $A^{\text {total }}$ from $A^{\text {finite }}$
- This is observed for all values of $\mu$


## Study 1: Monte Carlo Simulation

- With this understanding, we now aim to quantify this behavior to properly understand how many MC events in the original distribution, $N$, are needed to give reliable measurements of $R$
- We define $f$ as the fraction of pseudo-experiments with $R<0.5$ (very far from expected value)

Fraction PE w/ ratio $<.5$ (total PE $=100000$ ), Mean $=0.1$


Fraction PE w/ ratio <. 5 (total PE= 100000), Mean $=0.01$


Fraction PE w/ ratio <. 5 (total PE= 100000 ), Mean $=0.001$


## Study 1: Monte Carlo Simulation

- Want $f \approx 0$, define a threshold value and observe the relationship between the number of events needed for reliable measurements and $\mu$
- $N$ falls as $\frac{1}{\mu^{2}}$
- Measurements of $R$ for all values of $\mu$ with enough statistics give the same value
- Conclusion is that $R$ is indeed constant for all $\mu$ for this simple Gaussian model, and a huge amount of MC statistics are needed to accurately measure the actual value for small $\mu$ (or equivalently small $A$ )


## Study 2: Closed Form Statistical Solution

- Let's take a closer look at why the MC methods break down


Scatterplot of AFB_total VS AFB_reduced for $M \mathrm{M}=2 \mathrm{E}-02$, Events $=1 \mathrm{E} 4$


- Require $A^{\text {total }}$ (denominator of $R$ ) to be greater than at least $1 \sigma$ away from 0 - to avoid the potential divide by 0 problem (math jargon: this is where the distribution transitions to a Cauchy regime)


## Study 2: Closed Form Statistical Solution

- The statistical question becomes: how many events, $N$, are required for the mean of $A_{F B}{ }^{\text {total }}$ to be some number $(k \cdot \sigma)$ away from 0 , thus giving reliable measurements

$$
\sigma_{A_{F B}} \text { total }=\frac{A^{\text {total }}}{k}
$$

- Using statistics (see backup slides), we are able to find $N$ as a function of $\mu$ for our single Gaussian model:

$$
N=2 k^{2} \cdot \frac{\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}}
$$

- Some limiting cases:
- As $\mu \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty$
- Using the approximation $\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \mu$ for small $\mu$, we find that $N \propto \frac{1}{\mu^{2}}$ which is precisely what we just saw from our MC study


## Study 2: Closed Form Statistical Solution

\# Events Needed for Proper Statistics Plotted Against Mean

- Closed form solution: blue (for $k=2$ )
- MC data: red
- Excellent agreement!



## Study 3: Closed Form Numerical Solution

- We calculate $R$ as a function of $\mu$ using Mathematica
- Set $\sigma=1.0$
- Plot $R$ in the limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$
- For large values of $\mu, R$ only rises by $0.04 \%$ relative to
 $\mu=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\text {total }} & =\frac{(C+D)-(A+B)}{A+B+C+D} \\
A^{\text {finite }} & =\frac{C-B}{B+C} \\
R & =\frac{A^{\text {finite }}}{A^{\text {total }}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Conclusions

- We have used three methods to study the linear extrapolation of $A^{\text {finite }}$ to an inclusive $A^{\text {total }}$
- While we have only studied the simple Gaussian model, we observed that a linear extrapolation can be used, and while MC methods work reliably (even for small $A$ ) they can require much more significant statistics than expected
- Our results have the potential to be applied for many different asymmetry measurements in collider experiments, and have already been useful at the Tevatron for the $t \bar{t}$ forward-backward asymmetry


## Thank You For Listening! Any Questions?

## Backup Slides: The Statistical Solution Calculation

We need enough statistics such that $A_{F B}^{\text {total }}$, the denominator of $R$, is more than 1 sigma away from 0 (we will set it to be $k$, where $k$ will be determined later). In other words, we want to know how many events it takes in a pseudo-experiment to ensure the mean of the full asymmetry will be $k$ standard-deviations away from zero.
To do this we start with the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{A_{F B}^{\text {total }}}=\frac{A_{F B}^{\text {total }}}{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{A F B}^{\text {total }}$ is the variation (or uncertainty) of the measured value of $A_{F B}^{\text {total }}$. We will find both $\sigma_{A_{F B}^{\text {total }}}$ and $A_{F B}^{\text {total }}$ as functions of $N$ and $\mu$ and substitute them into Eq. 1 to get the functional relation between $N$ and $\mu$ for "good statistics".

## Backup Slides: The Statistical Solution Calculation

We begin with our definition of asymmetry,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{F B}^{\text {total }}=\frac{N_{+}-N_{-}}{N_{+}+N_{-}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{+}=C+D$ and $N_{-}=A+B$ as on Slide 2. Next we define $N=N_{+}+N_{-}$as the total number of events in the original Gaussian distribution, and rewrite this as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{F B}^{\text {total }}=\frac{2 N_{+}-N}{N} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that since our distributions are Gaussian, we can write $N_{+}$in terms of $N$ and $\mu$, with the relation given by

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{+} & =\frac{N}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{dx} e^{-(x-\mu)^{2} / 2} \\
& =\frac{N}{2}\left(\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)+1\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

## Backup Slides: The Statistical Solution Calculation

Plugging this in to Eq. 3 and reducing, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{F B}^{\text {total }}=\frac{\not \subset \stackrel{\otimes}{\not ㇒}\left(\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)+\not \subset\right)-\not \subset}{\not X} \\
& =\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

We next find $\sigma_{A_{F B}^{t o t a}}$ by beginning with the definition given in Bevington (92) applied to our problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{A_{F B}^{\text {total }}}=\left(\frac{\partial A_{F B}^{\text {total }}}{\partial N_{+}}\right) \sigma_{N_{+}}+\left(\frac{\partial A_{F B}^{\text {total }}}{\partial N}\right) \sigma_{N} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking a simple derivative of $A_{F B}^{\text {total }}$ from Eq. 3 gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial A_{F B}^{\text {total }}}{\partial N_{+}}\right)=\frac{2}{N} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Backup Slides: The Statistical Solution Calculation

To be consistent with the previous study, we fix $N$ and allow $N_{+}$to vary. This means that $\sigma_{N}=0$, and from simple statistics

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{N_{+}}=\sqrt{N_{+}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging Eqs. 7 and 8 into Eq. 6, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{A_{F B}^{\text {total }}}=\frac{2}{N} \cdot \sqrt{N_{+}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging Eq. 4 into this, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{A_{F B}^{\text {total }}} & =\frac{2}{N} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}\left(\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)+1\right)} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

## Backup Slides: The Statistical Solution Calculation

Finally, plugging Eqs. 5 and 10 back into Eq. 1 gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)}=\frac{\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{k} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and solving for $N$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{2 k^{2}\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is, as we set out to solve for, the number of events it takes per pseudo-experiment to ensure the mean of the full asymmetry will be $k$ standard-deviations away from zero, and thus give good statistics.
Discussion of the implication of this result is included in the main slides.

## Study 3: Closed Form Numerical Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{F B}^{\text {total }} & =\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{dx}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{(-x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)\right]}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{dx}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{(-x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)\right]} \\
A_{F B}^{\text {finite }}= & \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma}} \int_{0}^{1.5} \mathrm{dx}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{(-x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)\right]}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{1.5} \mathrm{~d} \times\left[\exp \left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{(-x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)\right]} \\
R & =\frac{A_{F B}^{\text {finte }}}{A_{F B}^{\text {total }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

