Resummation of double-differential cross sections

based on M. Procura, W. J. Waalewijn and L. Z., JHEP 1502 (2015) 117

Lisa Zeune

University of Amsterdam

SCET 2015

Santa Fe, 26th March 2015

Universiteit van Amsterdam

Delta Institute for Theoretical Physics

Motivation

Why shall we study multi-differential cross sections?

- LHC analyses often involve several measurements/cuts $\longrightarrow$ See talks by Andrew Larkoski (SCET 2014), Ian Moult and Piotr Pietrulewicz (both today)
- Correlations between different observables encoded in multi-differential cross sections

Example:

Z + 0 jet: Global jet veto using beam thrust and measurement of the transverse momentum of the Z boson

- If the measurements lead to widely separated energy scales
$\rightarrow$ resummation required
- So far: resummed calculation (mostly) restricted to single variables
Resummation of multi-differential cross sections have been studied — but there the measurements concerned different regions of phase-space (e.g different jets).
E.g. Ellis, Vermilion, Walsh, Hornig, Lee, '10; Stewart, Tackmann, Waalewijn,'10; Kelley, Schwartz, Schabinger, Zhu, '11; for a discussion of NGLs, see talk by Duff Neill

Motivation

- Another important reason to study the resummation of multi/double - differential cross sections: Jet substructure

  ⟶ One goal: Discriminate QCD jets from heavy boosted particles (W, Z, H, t)

- Most powerful discrimination observables are ratios of infrared and collinear (IRC) safe observables

  Examples:

  Ratios of N-subjettiness, energy correlation functions or planar flow /
  Ratio of two angularities

  Resummation of this ratio observable was studied in JHEP 1409 (2014) 046 (Larkoski, Moult, Neill), which inspired our work

- These observables are not IRC safe (cannot be computed order-by-oder in α_S), but can calculated in a well-defined way by marginalising over the **resummed** double differential cross section. Larkoski, Thaler, '13

Outline

In this talk I will present an extension of SCET which enables the resummation of a class of double-differential measurements

- **Application 1:** Z + 0 jet production
  - Introduction to SCET+
  - Factorization formula
- **Application 2:** Measurement of two angularities on a jet
  - NLL cross section
  - Comparison to JHEP 1409 (2014) 046 (Larkoski, Moult, Neill)

Outline

In this talk I will present an extension of SCET which enables the resummation of a class of double-differential measurements

- **Application 1:** Z + 0 jet production
  - Introduction to SCET+
  - Factorization formula

Introduction to SCET+

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_i p_{iT}\, e^{-|\eta_i|} = \sum_i \min\{p_i^+, p_i^-\}$$

Stewart, Tackmann, Waalewijn, '09

- Consider Z + 0 jet production:
  Transverse momentum of Z measured
  and global jet veto imposed using beam thrust $\mathcal{T}$

Hierarchy between $\mathcal{T}$ and p_T determines the appropriate SCET version:

↪ **SCET I:**
$p_T \sim Q^{1/2}\mathcal{T}^{1/2}$

↪ **SCET+:**
$p_T \sim Q^{1-r}\mathcal{T}^r$
with $1/2 < r < 1$

↪ **SCET II:**
$p_T \sim \mathcal{T}$



Introduction to SCET+

Hierarchy between $\mathcal{T}$ and p_T determines the appropriate SCET version:

SCET I: $p_T \sim Q^{1/2}\mathcal{T}^{1/2}$

SCET+: $p_T \sim Q^{1-r}\mathcal{T}^{r}$ with $1/2 < r < 1$

SCET II: $p_T \sim \mathcal{T}$

Mode	Scaling $(-,+,\perp)$	Measurement
n-collinear	$Q(1,\lambda^2,\lambda)$	$p_T \sim Q\lambda$
soft	$Q(\lambda^2,\lambda^2,\lambda^2)$	$\mathcal{T} \sim Q\lambda^2$



Interactions removed by BPS field redefinition
Bauer, Pirjol, Stewart, '02

Fully-unintegrated (FU) beam functions:

$B_q(t, x, \vec{k}_\perp)$

x: momentum fraction

$-t = k^- k^+$: transverse virtuality

Soft function:

$S(k^+)$

Introduction to SCET+

Hierarchy between $\mathcal{T}$ and p_T determines the appropriate SCET version:

SCET I: $p_T \sim Q^{1/2}\mathcal{T}^{1/2}$

SCET+: $p_T \sim Q^{1-r}\mathcal{T}^{r}$ with $1/2 < r < 1$

SCET II: $p_T \sim \mathcal{T}$

Mode	Scaling $(-,+,\perp)$	Measurement
n-collinear	$Q(1,\lambda^2,\lambda)$	$p_T \sim Q\lambda$
soft	$Q(\lambda,\lambda,\lambda)$	$\mathcal{T} \sim Q\lambda$



TMD beam functions:

$B_q(x, \vec{k}_\perp)$

FU soft function:

$S(k^+, \vec{k}_\perp)$

Introduction to SCET+

Hierarchy between $\mathcal{T}$ and p_T determines the appropriate SCET version:

SCET I:

$p_T \sim Q^{1/2}\mathcal{T}^{1/2}$

SCET+:

$p_T \sim Q^{1-r}\mathcal{T}^r$

with $1/2 < r < 1$

SCET II:

$p_T \sim \mathcal{T}$

Mode	Scaling $(-,+,\perp)$	Measurement
n-collinear	$Q(1,\lambda^{2r},\lambda^r)$	p_T
n-collinear-soft	$Q(\lambda^{2r-1},\lambda,\lambda^r)$	p_T, $\mathcal{T}$
soft	$Q(\lambda,\lambda,\lambda)$	$\mathcal{T}$

with $1/2 < r < 1$,

$\lambda \sim \mathcal{T}/Q \sim (p_T/Q)^{1/r}$



TMD beam functions:

$B_q(x,\vec{k}_\perp)$

Soft function:

$S(k^+)$

Collinear-soft function:

$\mathscr{S}(k^+,\vec{k}_\perp)$

Modes need to be well separated for for power corrections to be small

Introduction to SCET+

Hierarchy between $\mathcal{T}$ and p_T determines the appropriate SCET version:

SCET I: $p_T \sim Q^{1/2}\mathcal{T}^{1/2}$

SCET+: $p_T \sim Q^{1-r}\mathcal{T}^{r}$ with $1/2 < r < 1$

SCET II: $p_T \sim \mathcal{T}$

Mode	Scaling $(-, +, \perp)$	Measurement
n-collinear	$(Q, p_T^2/Q, p_T)$	p_T
n-collinear-soft	$(p_T^2/\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}, p_T)$	p_T, $\mathcal{T}$
soft	$(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$	$\mathcal{T}$



with $1/2 < r < 1$,
$\lambda \sim \mathcal{T}/Q \sim (p_T/Q)^{1/r}$

TMD beam functions:
$B_q(x, \vec{k}_\perp)$

Soft function:
$S(k^+)$

Collinear-soft function:
$\mathscr{S}(k^+, \vec{k}_\perp)$

Modes need to be well separated for for power corrections to be small

Introduction to SCET+

Hierarchy between $\mathcal{T}$ and p_T determines the appropriate SCET version:

SCET I:

$p_T \sim Q^{1/2}\mathcal{T}^{1/2}$

SCET+:

$p_T \sim Q^{1-r}\mathcal{T}^r$

with $1/2 < r < 1$

SCET II:

$p_T \sim \mathcal{T}$

- Collinear-soft modes were introduces first in a different context in Phys.Rev.D85 (2012) 074006 (Bauer, Tackmann, Walsh, Zuberi) and has led us to adopt their name SCET+

  → Difference: In their case collinear and collinear-soft modes are separated in virtuality (SCET I like) while in our case collinear and collinear-soft modes are separated in rapidity (SCET II like)



Effective theory framework

I. Matching the QCD quark current onto SCET+

$$\bar{\Psi}\,\Gamma\,\Psi = C(Q^2,\mu)\,\bar{\xi}_{\bar{n}} W_{\bar{n}} S^{\dagger}_{\bar{n}} X^{\dagger}_{\bar{n}} V_{\bar{n}}\,\Gamma\,V^{\dagger}_{n} X_{n} S_{n} W^{\dagger}_{n} \xi_{n}$$

QCD quark fields

Dirac structure

matching coefficient

collinear antiquark moving in $\bar{n}$-direction

collinear quark moving in n-direction

Wilson lines

$W_n^{\dagger}$: n-collinear gluons emitted from $\bar{\Psi}$ ($\bar{n}$-collinear)

$V_n^{\dagger}$: n-collinear-soft gluons emitted from $\bar{\Psi}$ ($\bar{n}$-collinear)

S_n: soft gluons emitted from Ψ (n-collinear)

X_n: n-collinear-soft gluons emitted from Ψ (n-collinear)

The ordering of the Wilson lines is fixed by gauge invariance of SCET+

Effective theory framework

II. BPS field redefinition

- At this point the soft fields still interact with the collinear-soft fields



- Performing an analog to the BPS field redefinition: Bauer, Pirjol, Stewart, '02

$$V_n \to S_n V_n S_n^\dagger\,, \qquad X_n \to S_n X_n S_n^\dagger\,,$$
$$V_{\bar n} \to S_{\bar n} V_{\bar n} S_{\bar n}^\dagger\,, \qquad X_{\bar n} \to S_{\bar n} X_{\bar n} S_{\bar n}^\dagger$$

- Finally:

$$\bar\Psi\,\Gamma\,\Psi = C(Q^2,\mu)\,\bar\xi_{\bar n} W_{\bar n} X_{\bar n}^\dagger V_{\bar n}\, S_{\bar n}^\dagger \Gamma\, S_n V_n^\dagger X_n W_n^\dagger \xi_n$$

- No interaction between various modes anymore
  $\to$ Derive factorisation theorems

Factorisation theorems: SCET I

Stewart, Tackmann, Waalewijn, '09;
Jain, Procura, Waalewijn, '11

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^4\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\,\mathrm{d}Y\,\mathrm{d}p_T^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} = \sum_q \hat{\sigma}_q^0\, H(Q^2) \int \mathrm{d}t_1\,\mathrm{d}t_2 \int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{2\perp} \int \mathrm{d}k^+\, S(k^+)$$
$$\times \left[B_q(t_1,x_1,\vec{k}_{1\perp})\, B_{\bar{q}}(t_2,x_2,\vec{k}_{2\perp}) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q})\right]$$
$$\times\, \delta\Big(\mathcal{T} - \frac{e^{-Y}t_1 + e^{Y}t_2}{Q} - k^+\Big)\, \delta\big(p_T^2 - |\vec{k}_{1\perp} + \vec{k}_{2\perp}|^2\big)$$

- Ingredients:

FU beam function

$\mathbf{P}$ operator returns momentum of intermediate state

$\chi_n = W_n^\dagger \xi_n$

$$B_q(t,x,\vec{k}_\perp) = \Big\langle p_n(p^-)\Big|\bar{\chi}_n(0)\,\frac{\bar{n}\!\!\!/}{2}\big[\delta(k^- - p^- + \mathbf{P}^-)\; \delta(t - k^-\mathbf{P}^+)\,\delta^2(\vec{k}_\perp - \vec{\mathbf{P}}_\perp)\,\chi_n(0)\big]\Big|p_n(p^-)\Big\rangle$$



$\hat{\sigma}_q^0$: Born cross section

$H(Q^2,\mu) = |C(Q^2,\mu)|^2$: Hard function

$-t_i = k_i^- k_i^+ \ (i=1,2)$: Transverse virtuality

$x_i = Q/E_{\rm cm}\, e^{\pm Y} \ (i=1,2)$: Momentum fraction,

Y = rapidity

Factorisation theorems: SCET I

Stewart, Tackmann, Waalewijn, '09;
Jain, Procura, Waalewijn, '11

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^4\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\,\mathrm{d}Y\,\mathrm{d}p_T^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} = \sum_q \hat\sigma_q^0\, H(Q^2) \int \mathrm{d}t_1\,\mathrm{d}t_2 \int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{2\perp} \int \mathrm{d}k^+\, S(k^+)$$
$$\times \left[B_q(t_1, x_1, \vec{k}_{1\perp})\, B_{\bar q}(t_2, x_2, \vec{k}_{2\perp}) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar q) \right]$$
$$\times\, \delta\!\left(\mathcal{T} - \frac{e^{-Y}t_1 + e^{Y}t_2}{Q} - k^+\right) \delta\!\left(p_T^2 - |\vec{k}_{1\perp} + \vec{k}_{2\perp}|^2\right)$$

- Ingredients:

  Soft function

Time ordering

$$\boxed{S(k^+) = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle 0|\mathrm{Tr}\left[\overline{\mathbf{T}}(S_n^\dagger(0)S_{\bar n}(0))\,\delta(k^+ - \mathbf{P}_1^+ - \mathbf{P}_2^-)\,\mathbf{T}(S_{\bar n}^\dagger(0)S_n(0))\right]|0\rangle}$$

$\mathbf{P}_1$ operator returns momentum of soft radiation in hemisphere 1 ($p^+ < p^-$)

$\hat\sigma_q^0$: Born cross section

$H(Q^2,\mu) = |C(Q^2,\mu)|^2$: Hard function

$-t_i = k_i^- k_i^+ \ (i=1,2)$: Transverse virtuality

$x_i = Q/E_{\mathrm{cm}}\, e^{\pm Y}\ (i=1,2)$: Momentum fraction, Y = rapidity

Factorisation theorems: SCET II

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^4\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\,\mathrm{d}Y\,\mathrm{d}p_T^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} = \sum_q \hat{\sigma}_q^0\, H(Q^2) \int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{2\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{\perp} \int \mathrm{d}k^+\;\delta\big(p_T^2 - |\vec{k}_{1\perp}+\vec{k}_{2\perp}+\vec{k}_{\perp}|^2\big)$$
$$\times\,\delta\big(\mathcal{T}-k^+\big)\,\Big[B_q(x_1,\vec{k}_{1\perp})\,B_{\bar{q}}(x_2,\vec{k}_{2\perp}) + (q\leftrightarrow\bar{q})\Big]\,S(k^+,\vec{k}_\perp)$$

Extension of: Chiu, Jain, Neill, Rothstein, '12
See also: Becher, Neubert, '10

- Ingredients:

TMD beam function

$$\boxed{B_q(x,\vec{k}_\perp) = \Big\langle p_n(p^-)\Big|\bar{\chi}_n(0)\,\frac{\bar{n}\!\!\!/}{2}\,[\delta(k^- - p^- + \mathbf{P}^-)\,\delta^2(\vec{k}_\perp - \vec{\mathbf{P}}_\perp)\,\chi_n(0)]\,\Big|p_n(p^-)\Big\rangle}$$

FU soft function

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} S(k^+,\vec{k}_\perp) = \frac{1}{N_c}\,\langle 0|\mathrm{Tr}\big[\overline{\mathbf{T}}(S_n^\dagger(0)S_{\bar{n}}(0))\,\delta(k^+ - \mathbf{P}_1^+ - \mathbf{P}_2^-)& \\ \delta^2(\vec{k}_\perp - \vec{\mathbf{P}}_\perp)\,\mathbf{T}(S_{\bar{n}}^\dagger(0)S_n(0))\big]|0\rangle& \end{aligned}}$$

Factorisation theorems: SCET+

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^4\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\,\mathrm{d}Y\,\mathrm{d}p_T^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} = \sum_q \hat{\sigma}_q^0\, H(Q^2) \int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{2\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{2\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}} \int \mathrm{d}k_1^+\,\mathrm{d}k_2^+\,\mathrm{d}k^+$$
$$\times S(k^+)\, B_q(x_1,\vec{k}_{1\perp})\, B_{\bar{q}}(x_2,\vec{k}_{2\perp})$$
$$\times \mathscr{S}\big(k_1^+,\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}\big)\, \mathscr{S}\big(k_2^+,\vec{k}_{2\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}\big)\, \delta\big(\mathcal{T}-k_1^+-k_2^+-k^+\big)$$
$$\times \delta\big(p_T^2-|\vec{k}_{1\perp}+\vec{k}_{2\perp}+\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}+\vec{k}_{2\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}|^2\big) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q})$$

- Ingredients:
  Soft function $\rightarrow$ SCET I
  TMD beam function $\rightarrow$ SCET II
- In SCET+ we have a TMD beam function without a TMD soft function
  We cannot combine them as was done in Becher, Neubert, '11; Echevarria, Idilbi, Scimemi, '12

Factorisation theorems: SCET+

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^4\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\,\mathrm{d}Y\,\mathrm{d}p_T^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} = \sum_q \hat{\sigma}_q^0\, H(Q^2) \int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{2\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{2\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}} \int \mathrm{d}k_1^+\,\mathrm{d}k_2^+\,\mathrm{d}k^+$$
$$\times\, S(k^+)\, B_q(x_1,\vec{k}_{1\perp})\, B_{\bar{q}}(x_2,\vec{k}_{2\perp})$$
$$\times\, \mathscr{S}(k_1^+,\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}})\, \mathscr{S}(k_2^+,\vec{k}_{2\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}})\, \delta\big(\mathcal{T}-k_1^+-k_2^+-k^+\big)$$
$$\times\, \delta\big(p_T^2 - |\vec{k}_{1\perp}+\vec{k}_{2\perp}+\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}+\vec{k}_{2\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}|^2\big) + (q\leftrightarrow\bar{q})$$

- <u>Ingredients:</u>
  Collinear-soft functions (separately for n and $\bar{n}$ directions)

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned}\mathscr{S}(k^+,\vec{k}_\perp) &= \frac{1}{N_c}\langle 0|\mathrm{Tr}\big[\overline{\mathbf{T}}(X_n^\dagger(0)V_n(0))\,\delta(k^+-\mathbf{P}^+)\,\delta^2(\vec{k}_\perp-\vec{\mathbf{P}}_\perp)\mathbf{T}(V_n^\dagger(0)X_n(0))\big]|0\rangle\\ &= \frac{1}{N_c}\langle 0|\mathrm{Tr}\big[\overline{\mathbf{T}}(V_{\bar{n}}^\dagger(0)X_{\bar{n}}(0))\,\delta(k^+-\mathbf{P}^-)\,\delta^2(\vec{k}_\perp-\vec{\mathbf{P}}_\perp)\mathbf{T}(X_{\bar{n}}^\dagger(0)V_{\bar{n}}(0))\big]|0\rangle\end{aligned}}$$

- FU soft function and collinear-soft function look quite similar
  <u>Difference</u>: Collinear-soft radiation goes only into one hemisphere
  $\rightarrow$ Different treatment of the two hemispheres

Summary factorization theorems

SCET$_{\mathrm{I}}$



SCET$_{+}$



SCET$_{\mathrm{II}}$



$$d\sigma = H(Q^2) \times B_q(t_1, \vec{k}_{1\perp})\, B_{\bar{q}}(t_2, \vec{k}_{2\perp}) \times S(k^+)$$

$$d\sigma = H(Q^2) \times B_q(\vec{k}_{1\perp})\, B_{\bar{q}}(\vec{k}_{2\perp}) \times \mathscr{S}\left(k_1^+, \vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}\right) \mathscr{S}\left(k_2^+, \vec{k}_{2\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}\right) \times S(k^+)$$

$$d\sigma = H(Q^2) \times B_q(\vec{k}_{1\perp})\, B_{\bar{q}}(\vec{k}_{2\perp}) \times S(k^+, \vec{k}_\perp)$$

Matching of the effective theories

- The SCET I, SCET+ and SCET II factorization theorems can be matched achieving a continuous cross section description

SCET I ← SCET+

beam function matching coefficients*

$$\mathcal{I}_{ij}(t, x, \vec{k}_\perp) = \int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}'_\perp \, \mathcal{I}_{ij}(x, \vec{k}'_\perp) \, \mathscr{S}(t/p^-, \vec{k}_\perp - \vec{k}'_\perp) \text{ + power corrections}$$

$$S(k^+, \vec{k}_\perp) = \int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}'_\perp \int \mathrm{d}k'^+ \, \mathrm{d}k''^+ \, S(k^+ - k'^+ - k''^+) \, \mathscr{S}(k'^+, \vec{k}'_\perp) \mathscr{S}(k''^+, \vec{k}_\perp - \vec{k}'_\perp)$$

$$\text{+ power corrections}$$

SCET II ← SCET+

This holds for common scales: $\mu = \mu_B = \mu_{\mathscr{S}} = \mu_S$ and $\nu = \nu_B = \nu_{\mathscr{S}} = \nu_S$

- This follows from:
  - Switching off resummation, SCET I and SCET II produce fixed order cross section up to power corrections
  - SCET+ regime can be obtained by a further expansion of SCET I or SCET II

$$^*B_q(x, \vec{k}_\perp, \mu, \nu) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}x'}{x'} \mathcal{I}_{qj}\Big(\frac{x}{x'}, \vec{k}_\perp, \mu, \nu\Big) f_j(x', \mu) \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\Lambda^2_{\mathrm{QCD}}}{\vec{k}^2_\perp}\Big)\right]$$

NNLL resummation and consistency checks

- All ingredients entering the factorisation calculated to the accuracy needed for NNLL resummation

$\hookrightarrow$ New pieces: FU soft function and collinear soft function, both calculated at one-loop

$\hookrightarrow$ No more details here $\rightarrow$ see paper

Checks of our framework

- Cancellation of anomalous dimensions between the various ingredients shown
- NLO cross section:
  - Full NLO cross section (differential in Q^2, Y, p_T and $\mathcal{T}$) calculated
  - Expanded in the SCET I, SCET+ and SCET II regions of phase space
  - Agreement with the predictions from factorization theorems shown

Outline

In this talk I will present an extension of SCET which enables the resummation of a class of double-differential measurements

- **Application 2:** Measurement of two angularities on a jet
  - NLL cross section
  - Comparison to JHEP 1409 (2014) 046 (Larkoski, Moult, Neill)

Measuring two angularities on one jet

- Definition of angularities:

Almeida, Lee, Perez, Sterman, Sung, Virzi, '09;
Ellis,Vermilion, Walsh, Hornig, Lee, '10;
Berger, Kucs, Sterman, '03

$$\boxed{e_\alpha = \frac{1}{E_J}\sum_{i\in J} E_i \frac{\sin\theta_i \tan^{\alpha-1}\frac{\theta_i}{2}}{\sin R \tan^{\alpha-1}\frac{R}{2}} \approx \frac{1}{E_J}\sum_{i\in J} E_i \left(\frac{\theta_i}{R}\right)^\alpha}$$

$\alpha > 0$
for IRC safety

Jet energy

Approximation valid for $R \ll 1$



Larkoski, Neill, Thaler, '14;
Bertolini, Chan, Thaler, '13



- Phase space for the measurement of two angularities e_α and e_β between:

Boundary B1: $e_\alpha = e_\beta$
(from jet radius requirement)

$\alpha > \beta$

Boundary B2: $e_\alpha^\beta = e_\beta^\alpha$
(from energy conservation)

Larkoski, Moult, Neill: NLL conjecture

- Two boundary theories for the measurement of two angularities on a single jet were identified
- Factorization of the double differential cross section proven at the phase space boundaries
- Interpolating function across the bulk region derived



Larkoski, Moult, Neill, '14

→ requiring cumulative cross section to be continuous and have a continuous derivative at the boundaries

Double angularities in SCET+

- SCET+ can be used to describe bulk region

Mode	Scaling $(-,+,\perp)$	Measurement
n-collinear	$Q(1,\lambda^{2r/\beta},\lambda^{r/\beta})$	e_β
n-collinear-soft	$Q\Big(\lambda^{\frac{\alpha r-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}},\lambda^{\frac{(\alpha-2)r-(\beta-2)}{\alpha-\beta}},\lambda^{\frac{(\alpha-1)r-(\beta-1)}{\alpha-\beta}}\Big)$	
soft	$Q(\lambda,\lambda,\lambda)$	e_α

$\beta/\alpha < r < 1$

and $\lambda \sim e_\alpha \sim e_\beta^{1/r}$

- Factorization formula (valid to NLL)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\sigma_i}{\mathrm{d}e_\alpha\,\mathrm{d}e_\beta} = \hat{\sigma}_i^{(0)} H_i(Q^2) \int \mathrm{d}e_\beta^{\mathrm{c}}Q^\beta\,\mathrm{d}e_\alpha^{\mathrm{cs}}Q\,\mathrm{d}e_\beta^{\mathrm{cs}}Q^\beta\,\mathrm{d}e_\alpha^{\mathrm{s}}Q$$

$$J_i(e_\beta^{\mathrm{c}}Q^\beta)\,\mathscr{S}_i(e_\alpha^{\mathrm{cs}}Q, e_\beta^{\mathrm{cs}}Q^\beta)\,S_i(e_\alpha^{\mathrm{s}}Q)$$

$$\times\,\delta(e_\alpha - e_\alpha^{\mathrm{cs}} - e_\alpha^{\mathrm{c}})\delta(e_\beta - e_\beta^{\mathrm{c}} - e_\beta^{\mathrm{cs}})$$

i = q (quarks)
i = g (gluons)

(avoiding $\alpha = 1$ or $\beta = 1$)

RG equations

Hard function

non-cusp piece

$$\gamma^i_X(\alpha_s) = \sum_n \gamma^i_{X,n} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^{n+1}$$

$$\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu} H(Q^2,\mu) = \gamma_H(Q^2,\mu)\, H(Q^2,\mu)\,,$$

$$\gamma_H(Q^2,\mu) = \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} + \gamma_H(\alpha_s)$$

cusp piece

Jet function

$$\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu} J(e_\beta Q^\beta,\mu) = \int_0^{e_\beta} \mathrm{d}e'_\beta\, Q^\beta\, \gamma_J(e_\beta Q^\beta - e'_\beta Q^\beta,\mu)\, J(e'_\beta Q^\beta,\mu)\,,$$

$$\gamma_J(e_\beta Q^\beta,\mu) = -\frac{2}{\beta-1}\,\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s)\, \frac{1}{\mu^\beta} \mathcal{L}_0\!\left(\frac{e_\beta Q^\beta}{\mu^\beta}\right) + \gamma_J(\alpha_s)\,\delta(e_\beta Q^\beta)$$

Soft function

$$\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu} S(e_\alpha Q,\mu) = \int_0^{e_\alpha} \mathrm{d}e'_\alpha Q\, \gamma_S(e_\alpha Q - e'_\alpha Q,\mu)\, S(e'_\alpha Q,\mu)\,,$$

$$\gamma_S(e_\alpha Q,\mu) = \frac{2}{\alpha-1}\,\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s)\, \frac{1}{\mu} \mathcal{L}_0\!\left(\frac{e_\alpha Q}{\mu}\right) + \gamma_S(\alpha_s)\,\delta(e_\alpha Q)$$

Collinear-soft function constrained by consistency

NLL resummation

- Tree level expressions

$$H(Q^2,\mu) = 1\,, \qquad\qquad J(e_\beta Q^\beta,\mu) = \delta(e_\beta Q^\beta)$$

$$\mathscr{S}(e_\alpha Q, e_\beta Q^\beta) = \delta(e_\alpha Q)\,\delta(e_\beta Q^\beta)\,, \qquad\qquad S(e_\alpha Q,\mu) = \delta(e_\alpha Q)$$

- Evolve all to the collinear-soft scale $\mu_{\mathscr{S}}$:

  Double cumulative distribution

$$\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = \int_0^{e_\alpha} \mathrm{d}e'_\alpha \int_0^{e_\beta} \mathrm{d}e'_\beta \, \frac{\partial^2\sigma}{\partial e'_\alpha \partial e'_\beta}$$

$$= \hat{\sigma}^{(0)} \, \frac{e^{K_H + K_J + K_S - \gamma_E\,\eta_J - \gamma_E\,\eta_S}}{\Gamma(1+\eta_J)\Gamma(1+\eta_S)} \left(\frac{Q}{\mu_H}\right)^{2\eta_H} \left(\frac{e_\beta^{1/\beta} Q}{\mu_J}\right)^{\beta\,\eta_J} \left(\frac{e_\alpha Q}{\mu_S}\right)^{\eta_S}$$

Hard scale   Jet scale   Soft scale

- Evolution kernels: $K_X(\mu_X, \mu_{\mathscr{S}})$ and $\eta_X(\mu_X, \mu_{\mathscr{S}})$, $X = H, J, S$

Comparison to Larkoski, Moult, Neill

- Their NLL conjecture:

$$\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta)^{\text{conjecture}} = \frac{e^{-\gamma_E \tilde{R}(e_\alpha, e_\beta)}}{\Gamma(1+\tilde{R}(e_\alpha, e_\beta))} e^{-R(e_\alpha, e_\beta) - \gamma_i T(e_\alpha, e_\beta)}$$

- This mostly agrees with our result with

$$R(e_\alpha, e_\beta) + \gamma T(e_\alpha, e_\beta) \overset{\text{NLL}}{=} -K_H(\mu_H, \mu_{\mathscr{S}}) - K_J(\mu_J, \mu_{\mathscr{S}}) - K_S(\mu_S, \mu_{\mathscr{S}}) ,$$
$$\tilde{R}(e_\alpha, e_\beta) \overset{\text{NLL}}{=} \eta_J(\mu_J, \mu_{\mathscr{S}}) + \eta_S(\mu_S, \mu_{\mathscr{S}})$$

- Difference in the denominator:

  (ignoring power-suppressed terms and terms beyond NLL)

$$\left.\begin{array}{r} \text{Our result: } \Gamma(1+\eta_J)\Gamma(1+\eta_S) \\ \text{JHEP 1409 (2014) 046: } \Gamma(1+\eta_J+\eta_S) \end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Difference at } \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 \ln^2) \\ \text{in the bulk} \end{array}$$

Scale choices

- **Boundary conditions**

$$\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta = e_\alpha^{\beta/\alpha}) = \Sigma(e_\alpha)$$

(e_β has been integrated over its entire range)

$$\Sigma(e_\alpha = e_\beta, e_\beta) = \Sigma(e_\beta)$$

(e_α has been integrated over its entire range)

<u>derivative:</u>

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial e_\alpha}\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\right|_{e_\beta = e_\alpha^{\beta/\alpha}} = \frac{d\sigma}{de_\alpha}$$

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial e_\alpha}\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\right|_{e_\beta = e_\alpha} = 0$$

and similarly for $\partial/\partial e_\beta$ with B1 $\leftrightarrow$ B2



- Boundary conditions in JHEP 1409 (2014) 046 (Larkoski, Moult, Neill) fulfilled by adding power-suppressed

Scale choices

- **Boundary conditions**

$$\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta = e_\alpha^{\beta/\alpha}) = \Sigma(e_\alpha)$$

(e_β has been integrated over its entire range)

$$\Sigma(e_\alpha = e_\beta, e_\beta) = \Sigma(e_\beta)$$

(e_α has been integrated over its entire range)

derivative:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial e_\alpha}\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\Big|_{e_\beta = e_\alpha^{\beta/\alpha}} = \frac{d\sigma}{de_\alpha}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial e_\alpha}\Sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\Big|_{e_\beta = e_\alpha} = 0$$

and similarly for $\partial/\partial e_\beta$ with B1 $\leftrightarrow$ B2

- Boundary conditions in JHEP 1409 (2014) 046 (Larkoski, Moult, Neill) fulfilled by adding power-suppressed

- **Profile scales**

Boundary conditions can be fulfilled by appropriate scale choice:

$$\mu_{\mathscr{S}}(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\Big|_{\text{B1}} = \mu_S(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\Big|_{\text{B1}}$$

$$\mu_{\mathscr{S}}(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\Big|_{\text{B2}} = \mu_J(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\Big|_{\text{B2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial e_\alpha}\mu_J(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\Big|_{\text{B2}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}e_\alpha}\mu_J(e_\alpha, e_\alpha^{\beta/\alpha})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial e_\alpha}\mu_{\mathscr{S}}(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\Big|_{\text{B2}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}e_\alpha}\mu_J(e_\alpha, e_\alpha^{\beta/\alpha})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial e_\alpha}\mu_S(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\Big|_{\text{B2}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}e_\alpha}\mu_S(e_\alpha, e_\alpha^{\beta/\alpha})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial e_\alpha}\mu_X(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\Big|_{\text{B1}} = 0 \quad , \; X = J, \mathscr{S}, S$$

and similarly for $\partial/\partial e_\beta$

Conclusions

- Resummation of double-differential measurements achieved via a new effective theory framework SCET+ containing collinear-soft modes

  $\hookrightarrow$ Factorization formula derived at the phase-space boundaries and in the intermediate regime. Continuous cross section description by matching factorization formula across different regions.

- Two applications we studied:

  - pp $\to$ Z + 0 jets: jet veto is imposed through the beam thrust and transverse momentum of the Z measured

  - Measurement of two angularities on a single jet

Thank you!

Back-up slides

Effective theory framework

- n-collinear gauge transformation:

  Groups together $W_n^\dagger \xi_n$ ($W_n^\dagger \to W_n^\dagger U_n^\dagger$)

$$\boxed{\xi_n \to U_n \xi_n\,, \qquad W_n \to U_n W_n\,,} \qquad S_n \to S_n\,, \qquad V_n \to V_n\,, \qquad X_n \to X_n$$

  Similarly $\bar{\xi}_{\bar{n}} W_{\bar{n}}$ is grouped together by $\bar{n}$-collinear gauge transformation

- n-collinear-soft gauge transformation:

  Groups together $V_n^\dagger X_n$

$$W_n^\dagger \xi_n \to W_n^\dagger \xi_n\,, \qquad S_n \to S_n\,, \qquad \boxed{V_n \to U_{ncs} V_n\,, \qquad X_n \to U_{ncs} X_n}$$

  Similarly $X_{\bar{n}}^\dagger V_{\bar{n}}$ is grouped together by $\bar{n}$-collinear-soft gauge transformation

- soft gauge transformation:

$$\begin{array}{ll} W_n^\dagger \xi_n \to W_n^\dagger \xi_n\,, & \boxed{\begin{array}{lll} S_n \to U_s S_n\,, & V_n \to U_s V_n U_s^\dagger\,, & X_n \to U_s X_n U_s^\dagger \\ S_{\bar{n}} \to U_s S_{\bar{n}}\,, & V_{\bar{n}} \to U_s V_{\bar{n}} U_s^\dagger\,, & X_{\bar{n}} \to U_s X_{\bar{n}} U_s^\dagger \end{array}} \\ \bar{\xi}_{\bar{n}} W_{\bar{n}} \to \bar{\xi}_{\bar{n}} W_{\bar{n}}\,, & \end{array}$$

  Fixes the remaining ordering

Non-global logarithms

- To what extend can our framework be used to calculate non-global logarithms, arising when different restrictions are applied in different regions of phase space?
- Consider: Instead of measuring p_T of Z boson, measure p_T of ISR it recoils against (ISR in <u>one</u> hemisphere)
- Factorization theorem:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^4\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\,\mathrm{d}Y\,\mathrm{d}p_{T,\mathrm{ISR}}^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} = \sum_q \hat{\sigma}_q^0\, H(Q^2,\mu) \int \mathrm{d}t_2 \int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}} \int \mathrm{d}k_1^+\,\mathrm{d}k^+\, S(k^+,\mu)$$
$$\times B_q(x_1,\vec{k}_{1\perp},\mu,\nu)\, B_{\bar{q}}(t_2,x_2,\mu)\,\mathscr{S}\big(k_1^+,\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}},\mu,\nu\big)$$
$$\times\,\delta\Big(\mathcal{T}-k_1^+-\frac{e^Y t_2}{Q}-k^+\Big)\,\delta\big(p_{T,\mathrm{ISR}}^2-|\vec{k}_{1\perp}+\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}|^2\big)+(q\leftrightarrow\bar{q})$$

- This does not address the problem arising when the soft function contains multiple scales (e.g. when beam thus measurement would be restricted to one hemisphere)

Matching of the effective theories

- At NNLL one can show:

$$\mathcal{I}_{qq}^{(1)}(t,x,\vec{k}_\perp) = \delta(t)\,\mathcal{I}_{qq}^{(1)}(x,\vec{k}_\perp) + \delta(1-x)\,\mathscr{S}^{(1)}(t/p^-,\vec{k}_\perp)$$
$$\mathcal{I}_{qg}^{(1)}(t,x,\vec{k}_\perp) = \delta(t)\,\mathcal{I}_{qg}^{(1)}(x,\vec{k}_\perp)\,,$$
$$S^{(1)}(k^+,\vec{k}_\perp) = \frac{1}{\pi}\,\delta(\vec{k}_\perp^{\,2})S^{(1)}(k^+) + 2\mathscr{S}^{(1)}(k^+,\vec{k}_\perp)$$

- Patch together the NNLL cross section

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^4\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\,\mathrm{d}Y\,\mathrm{d}p_T^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} = \sum_q \hat{\sigma}_q^0\,H(Q^2)\int \mathrm{d}t_1\,\mathrm{d}t_2 \int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{2\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_{2\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}\,\mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_\perp \int \mathrm{d}k_1^+\,\mathrm{d}k_2^+\,\mathrm{d}k^+ \\
\times \Big[B_q(t_1,x_1,\vec{k}_{1\perp}) - \mathscr{S}^{(1)}(t_1e^{-Y}/Q,\vec{k}_{1\perp})\Big]\mathscr{S}(k_1^+,\vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}) \\
\times \Big[B_{\bar{q}}(t_2,x_2,\vec{k}_{2\perp}) - \mathscr{S}^{(1)}(t_2e^{Y}/Q,\vec{k}_{2\perp})\Big]\mathscr{S}(k_2^+,\vec{k}_{2\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}}) \\
\times \Big[S(k^+,\vec{k}_\perp) - 2\mathscr{S}^{(1)}(k^+,\vec{k}_\perp)\Big]\delta\Big(\mathcal{T} - \frac{e^{-Y}t_1 + e^{Y}t_2}{Q} - k_1^+ - k_2^+ - k^+\Big) \\
\times \delta\big(p_T^2 - |\vec{k}_{1\perp} + \vec{k}_{2\perp} + \vec{k}_{1\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}} + \vec{k}_{2\perp}^{\mathrm{cs}} + \vec{k}_\perp|^2\big) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q})
\end{aligned}$$