

Beam Distributions with Maximal Apertures

J. Scott Berg Brookhaven National Laboratory MAP Intense Sources Meeting February 17, 2015



- Neuffer found significantly worse performance with C compared to Hg
 - Found larger emittance for C
- I looked at emittances at 3 m
 - Various Hg distributions had very different emittances
 - Differences primarily result from different beam pipe apertures
 - Neuffer used the one with the smallest emittance
 - C emittances were larger than Hg
 - C emittances worst with dump no tilt; with dump better with tilt



- New default for MARS event generator has significant impact on performance
 - Largest impact is total count reduction, less so on spectrum
 - Transverse emittances virtually unchanged
- C energy spectrum peaked at much higher energy than Hg
 - Overall production may be comparable to Hg (about to be proven otherwise...)
 - NBPR design likely very different for Hg and C
 - But Bob argued correctly that capturing flux at higher energies is likely more costly and less efficient



Review of Previous Talk

• C with dump no tilt has significantly worse production



- Ran both C and Hg with
 - 13 cm inner radius to 85 cm
 - 23 cm inner radius beyond that
- Compare distributions at 3 m to results with old apertures
- Emittances are larger, and are identical for Hg and C: emittances determined by apertures!
 - Differences in C apertures based on tilt, etc: likely differences in interaction with aperture

	$\mu^{-}+$	$\mu^$	μ^++	μ^+-	π^- +	π^- -	π^++	π^+-
Hg old	30.7	13.4	35.2	15.1	21.0	14.4	21.9	15.1
Hg new	60.2	17.5	66.6	18.8	62.8	14.6	64.8	14.8
C old	51.5	22.1	52.7	23.9	36.5	26.0	36.6	27.4
C new	60.7	18.5	64.5	19.4	63.8	15.4	66.1	15.6

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Hg at 3 m



J. S. Berg - Beam Distributions with Maximal Apertures - MAP Intense Sources Meeting



- Hg: widening apertures gives more particles at higher energy
- C: less change seen: only difference is that 13 cm portion got shorter in new version
- Some decrease in low energy pions: pions were losing energy in beampipe?

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

C at 3 m







NATIONAL LABORATORY



- Hg production always higher than C
- Distributions get very similar at high energy, especially for positive charges
- Pion production peak at 250 MeV shows up in Hg as well as C
 - This peak may be related to geometry: higher fields may move this to higher energy
- Still holds that C and Hg will require different NBPR, but less so than I initially thought
 - Note that NBPR will function differently for both signs (moreso in Hg): must be a compormise, designed simultaneously for both signs

Spectrum vs. Distance (Hg, MARS)



LABORATORY

NAL

BROOKHAVEN

Spectrum vs. Distance (C, MARS)





- Going down to 10 m, many more pions lost than muons created
- Peak at 250 MeV goes away
- Conclusion: many pions (and maybe some decay muons) lost on apertures
- High energy spectrum oscillates for Hg
 - Longer betatron period for high energies
 - Expect to eventually flatten out
 - Less so for C: production over larger longitudinal range?
- Transmission would be improved by higher fields
 Consistent with Hisham's results
 - Spectrum would be weighted toward higher energy



Hg vs. C at 10 m





Hg vs. C at 10 m

- Similar to 3 m, especially for muons
- Main difference is disappearance of pion peak at 250 MeV



- Emittances are determined primarily by apertures; Hg and C are the same
- High energy portion of spectrum clipped by apertures as well
- Spectrum shape differs for different signs
- Positive production similar for Hg and C
- Negative production differs significantly at low energy (< 150 MeV for μ^-)
- Higher fields would increase number of captured particles, but likely raise energy of spectrum



- Distributions available at https://pubweb.bnl.gov/~jsberg/150201-Distributions/
 ICOOL for 003 dat input_ as well as row MAPS
- ICOOL for003.dat input, as well as raw MARS output
- At 2 m and 10 m for both Hg and C, also 0.375 m for Hg
- At 10 m, also have charged pions, kaons, and muons, plus same separated by charge signs
- MARS input files also available



- What does NBPR optimized for these distributions look like?
 - What portion of the distribution does it use?
 - What is the best compromise for both signs?
 - Is this different for collider and *v* factory optimization?
 - Is there a significant difference for C and Hg?
- How does chicane change things?
- How does raising the field change things?
- Would an early absorber help?