Claudia Frugiuele

‡Fermilab

Dark matter beams at LBNF

with Pilar Coloma, Bogdan Dobrescu and Roni Harnik

hep ph 1508.xxx

with Bogdan Dobrescu

PRL 113, 061801 (2014)

JHEP 1502 (2015) 019

Dark matter is a strong evidence for BSM physics, but we do not know anything about its properties Dark matter is a strong evidence for BSM physics, but we do not know anything about its properties

One or more DM particles? What is its mass?

Does it interact with us only gravitationally?

Dark matter is a strong evidence for BSM physics, but we do not know anything about its properties



How do we look for DM?



- Direct detection
- Indirect detection
- Collider searches
- Low energy frontier

Direct detection experiments strongly constraint matter-DM interactions



Direct detection experiments strongly constraint matter-DM interactions



Direct detection experiments strongly constraint matter-DM interactions



Proton fixed target experiments!

Batell, Pospelov, Ritz 2009

Proton fixed target & neutrino near detectors



The idea:

a relativistic DM beam together with a neutrino beam!

Batell, Pospelov and Ritz 2009

The model: a leptophobic Z'



it could the DM particle or a particle of a more complex dark sector

We produce a dark matter beam!

indirect production, i.e. mesons decays

$$\gamma$$
 π^{0}, η
 χ
 χ
 χ
 χ
 χ
 χ

 \bullet direct production, i.e Drell-Yan production $pp \to Z' \to \chi \chi$



Weak point: neutrino background irreducible bkg Very large number of events required

DM search at Miniboone

Batell et al, 2013



Neutrino Bkg reduced by proton beam dump mode + time flight decay

DM search at Miniboone

Batell et al, 2013



Dark matter beam at the Fermilab main injector

• 120 GeV protons from Main Injector at Fermilab hitting a carbon target

it can extend the reach of MiniBoone towards heavier DM and Z'!

• Drell Yan production $pp \to Z' \to \chi \chi$

Several near detectors to consider



DM particle enter the near detector and scatter with nuclei

DM energy profile inside the detector





DM particles are fairly energetic

DM energy profile inside the detector

DM energetic - deeply inelastic events



Number of DM DIS scattering events in NOVA



Number of DM DIS scattering events in NOVA



Neutrinos energy profile



Neutrino energy profile peaked at a few GeV

Naive idea: in order to reduce neutrino bkg we focus on the more energetic events

Deep inelastic scattering

Cut on hadronic energy $E_i > 2 \text{ GeV}$

Neutrino energy profile peaked at a few GeV

Naive idea: in order to reduce neutrino bkg we focus on the more energetic events

Deep inelastic scattering

Cut on hadronic energy $E_j > 2 \text{ GeV}$

stíll a bíg neutríno taíl! 10⁶ bkg NC DIS eventsnot enough to have sensítívíty! Neutrino energy profile peaked at a few GeV

Naive idea: in order to reduce neutrino bkg we focus on the more energetic events

can we kill neutrino bkg more efficiently or shall we forget about these experiments?

Neutrinos energy profile



large tail of neutrinos coming from kaon decays

flux

Neutrinos energy profile



We have already an off axis detector!



DM particle enter the near detector and scatter with nuclei



Off axis versus on axis bkg



$$E_{\nu} = \frac{m_{\pi/K}^2}{2E_{\pi/K}(1-\beta\cos\theta)}$$

Neutrino bkg dies faster than signal!

particles with E>2GeV



Ideal position for a future LBNF detector

 $\chi^2 contour$ 0.150 0.100 ∞ 0.070 0.050 Optimal

4

0.030

2

3

- SBL location

6

7

5

 $M_{Z'}(\text{GeV})$

 $\chi^2 contour$

Conclusions

- Proton fixed target experiments could offer the possibility to improve existing bounds on light DM/quarks couplings.
- Interesting opportunities for exploring physics BSM at LBNF!

DM angular distribution

DM beam less colimated than neutrino beam

Detectors acceptance

Detector	DM source	distance	$ heta_{\min}$	$ heta_{ m max}$	$\phi_{ m max}$	$\epsilon_{ m det}({ m fermion})$	$\epsilon_{ m det}(m scalar)$
MINOS	absorber	270 m	0	0.48°	180°	$6 imes 10^{-3}$	10-4
MINOS	target	950 m	0	0.19°	180°	$8 imes 10^{-4}$	$3 imes 10^{-6}$
ΝΟνΑ	absorber	240 m	2.6°	3.6°	18°	$3 imes 10^{-3}$	$2 imes 10^{-3}$
NOνA	target	920 m	0.68°	0.93°	18°	$4 imes 10^{-4}$	$3 imes 10^{-5}$

Angular distribution different of scalar and fermions

Having both an on-axis and off axis near detectors can reveal fundamental properties in case of discovery!

Detectors acceptance

Detector	DM source	distance	$ heta_{\min}$	$ heta_{ m max}$	$\phi_{ m max}$	$\epsilon_{ m det}({ m fermion})$	$\epsilon_{ m det}(m scalar)$
MINOS	absorber	270 m	0	0.48°	180°	$6 imes 10^{-3}$	10^{-4}
MINOS	target	950 m	0	0.19°	180°	$8 imes 10^{-4}$	$3 imes 10^{-6}$
ΝΟνΑ	absorber	240 m	2.6°	3.6°	18°	$3 imes 10^{-3}$	$2 imes 10^{-3}$
NOνA	target	920 m	0.68°	0.93°	18°	$4 imes 10^{-4}$	$3 imes 10^{-5}$

Angular distribution different of scalar and fermions

MINOS acceptance significant only for fermions!