Synthesizing Data: Sterile Neutrinos

Carlo Giunti

INFN, Torino, Italy

WIN 2017 XXVI International Workshop on Weak Interactions and Neutrinos Irvine, California, USA, 19-24 June 2017



Indications of SBL Oscillations Beyond 3ν

<u>LSND</u>

[PRL 75 (1995) 2650; PRC 54 (1996) 2685; PRL 77 (1996) 3082; PRD 64 (2001) 112007]

 $ar{
u}_{\mu}
ightarrow ar{
u}_{e}$ 20 MeV $\leq E \leq$ 52.8 MeV

• Well-known and pure source of $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$





Well-known detection process of $\bar{\nu}_e$

- \blacktriangleright \approx 3.8 σ excess
- But signal not seen by KARMEN at L ~ 18 m with the same method

[PRD 65 (2002) 112001]



C. Giunti – Synthesizing Data: Sterile Neutrinos – WIN 2017 – 22 June 2017 – 4/27

MiniBooNE

 $L \simeq 541 \,\mathrm{m}$ 200 MeV $\leq E \lesssim 3 \,\mathrm{GeV}$



- Purpose: check LSND signal.
- ▶ Different *L* and *E*.
- ► Similar *L*/*E* (oscillations).
- No money, no Near Detector.

- LSND signal: E > 475 MeV.
- Agreement with LSND signal?
- CP violation?
- Low-energy anomaly!

Gallium Anomaly



C. Giunti – Synthesizing Data: Sterile Neutrinos – WIN 2017 – 22 June 2017 – 6/27

Reactor Electron Antineutrino Anomaly

[Mention et al, PRD 83 (2011) 073006]

New reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ fluxes

[Mueller et al, PRC 83 (2011) 054615; Huber, PRC 84 (2011) 024617]



C. Giunti - Synthesizing Data: Sterile Neutrinos - WIN 2017 - 22 June 2017 - 7/27

5 MeV Bump









[Daya Bay, arXiv:1508.04233]

- Cannot be explained by neutrino oscillations (SBL oscillations are averaged in Double Chooz, Daya Bay, RENO).
- Very likely due to theoretical miscalculation of the spectrum.
- ► ~ 3% effect on total flux, but if it is an excess it increases the anomaly!
- No post-bump complete calculation of the neutrino fluxes.
- Saclay-Huber flux calculation uncertainty is about 2.5%.

- Increasing the flux uncertainty is a game that one can play, but:
 - There are only guesses, e.g. about 5%. [Hayes and Vogel, 2016]
 - Increasing the uncertainty decreases the statistical significance of the anomaly alone, but can lead to a larger anomaly when combined with the Gallium anomaly which is larger and in the global fit, because of the appearance-disappearance tension.

Daya Bay Reactor Fuel Evolution

[Daya Bay, arXiv:1704.01082; Martinez Caicedo @ WIN2017]

- Reactor ν
 _e flux produced by the β decays of the fission products of ²³⁵U, ²³⁸U, ²³⁹Pu, ²⁴¹Pu.
- Cross section per fission:

$$\sigma_f = \sum_{i=235,238,239,241} F_i \,\sigma_{f,i}$$

Effective fission fractions:





- Best fit: suppression of $\sigma_{f,235}$.
- Equal fluxes suppression: $\Delta \chi^2/{\rm NDF} = 7.9/1 \label{eq:linear}$ disfavored at $2.8\sigma.$
- Equal fluxes suppression corresponds to SBL oscillations, but theoretical flux uncertainties must be taken into account.





[arXiv:1610.05134; Ho @ WIN2017]



- Hanbit Nuclear Power Complex in Yeong-gwang, Korea.
- ► Thermal power of 2.8 GW.
- Detector: a ton of Gd-loaded liquid scintillator in a gallery approximately 24 m from the reactor core.
- The measured antineutrino event rate is 1976 per day with a signal to background ratio of about 22.



Best Fit: $\Delta m_{41}^2 = 1.3 \,\mathrm{eV}^2 \quad \sin^2 2\theta_{14} = 0.04$



Beyond Three-Neutrino Mixing: Sterile Neutrinos



Terminology: a eV-scale sterile neutrino means: a eV-scale massive neutrino which is mainly sterile

Effective 3+1 SBL Oscillation Probabilities



Global ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ Disappearance

[Gariazzo, CG, Laveder, Li, arXiv:1703.00860]

• KARMEN+LSND ν_e^{-12} C

[Conrad, Shaevitz, PRD 85 (2012) 013017] [CG, Laveder, PLB 706 (2011) 20]

► Solar v_e + KamLAND v
_e [Li et al, PRD 80 (2009) 113007, PRD 86 (2012) 113014] [Palazzo, PRD 83 (2011) 113013, PRD 85 (2012) 077301]

T2K Near Detector ν_e disappearance [T2K, PRD 91 (2015) 051102]

•
$$\Delta \chi^2_{NO} = 14.1 \Rightarrow \approx 3.3\sigma$$
 anomaly

► Best Fit:
$$\Delta m_{41}^2 = 1.7 \text{ eV}^2$$

 $\sin^2 2\vartheta_{ee} = 0.066 \iff |U_{e4}|^2 = 0.017$

►
$$\chi^2_{\rm min}/{\rm NDF} = 163.0/174 \Rightarrow {\rm GoF} = 71\%$$

►
$$\chi^2_{PG}/NDF_{PG} = 13.7/7 \Rightarrow GoF_{PG} = 6\%$$



Global ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ Disappearance + β Decay

[Gariazzo, CG, Laveder, Li, arXiv:1703.00860]



The Race for ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ Disappearance



C. Giunti – Synthesizing Data: Sterile Neutrinos – WIN 2017 – 22 June 2017 – 18/27

$\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e}$ and $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ Appearance



C. Giunti – Synthesizing Data: Sterile Neutrinos – WIN 2017 – 22 June 2017 – 19/27

 ν_{μ} and $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ Disappearance



C. Giunti – Synthesizing Data: Sterile Neutrinos – WIN 2017 – 22 June 2017 – 20/27

3+1 Appearance-Disappearance Tension



C. Giunti – Synthesizing Data: Sterile Neutrinos – WIN 2017 – 22 June 2017 – 21/27

Effects of MINOS, IceCube and NEOS



IceCube effect in agreement with Collin, Arguelles, Conrad, Shaevitz, PRL 117 (2016) 221801

The Race for the Light Sterile

 $\stackrel{(-)}{\nu_e} \rightarrow \stackrel{(-)}{\nu_e}$





Preliminary Bound from MINOS & MINOS+



Preliminary Bound from DANSS



C. Giunti – Synthesizing Data: Sterile Neutrinos – WIN 2017 – 22 June 2017 – 26/27

Conclusions

- Exciting indications of sterile neutrinos (new physics!) at the eV scale:
 - LSND $\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e}$ signal (caveat: single experimental signal).
 - Gallium ν_e disappearance (caveat: overestimated detector efficiency?).
 - ▶ Reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ disappearance (caveat: flux calculation dependence).
- ► Vigorous experimental program to check conclusively in a few years:
 - ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ disappearance with reactors and radioactive sources.
 - $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ transitions with accelerator neutrinos.
 - ν_{μ} disappearance with accelerator neutrinos.
- ▶ Independent tests through effect of m_4 in β -decay and $\beta\beta_{0\nu}$ -decay.
- ► Cosmology: strong tension with △N_{eff} = 1 and m₄ ≈ 1 eV. It may be solved by a non-standard cosmological mechanism.
- Possibilities for the next years:
 - ▶ Reactor and source experiments ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ observe SBL oscillations: big excitement and explosion of the field.
 - Otherwise: still marginal interest to check the LSND appearance signal.
 - In any case the possibility of the existence of sterile neutrinos related to New Physics beyond the Standard Model will continue to be studied (e.g keV sterile neutrinos).