## Report on T2K Result:

http://jnusrv01.kek.jp/public/t2k/index.php

- Paper: http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.1238
- Talk: http://jnusrv01.kek.jp/public/t2k/sites/default/files/t2k-nue1st.pdf
- Press: http://www.kek.jp/intra-e/press/2011/J-PARC\_T2Kneutrino.html



T2K Main Goals:

- **\star** Discovery of  $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$  oscillation ( $v_{e}$  appearance)
- $\bigstar$  Precision measurement of  $\nu_{\mu}$  disappearance

### Neutrino Masses & Mixings:





•  $\theta_{13} \neq 0$  allows  $\nu_e$  to oscillate at the Atmospheric scale 500km/GeV !!! (as well as the Solar scale 15,000km/GeV)

CPV and Mass Hierarchy

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathcal{V}_{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{e} \\ & \mathsf{Vacuum} & P_{\mu \rightarrow e} \approx | \sqrt{P_{atm}} e^{-i(\Delta_{32} \pm \delta)} + \sqrt{P_{sol}} |^{2} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow \\ & \Delta_{ij} = \delta m_{ij}^{2} L/4E & \text{CP violation } !!! \\ & \text{where } \sqrt{P_{atm}} = \sin \theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{13} \sin \Delta_{31} \\ & \text{and } \sqrt{P_{sol}} = \cos \theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin \Delta_{21} \end{array}$$

## Total # of protons used for analysis



Total # of protons used for this analysis is 1.43 x 10<sup>20</sup> pot 2% of T2K's final goal and ~5 times exposure of the previous report

14

## The expected number of events for $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}=0$

The expected number of events with 1.43 x 10<sup>20</sup> p.o.t.

 $N^{exp}_{SK tot.} = 1.5 \text{ events}$ 

	Beam v <sub>e</sub> background	NC background	Oscillated v <sub>µ</sub> →v <sub>e</sub> (solar term)	Total
The expected # of events at SK	0.8	0.6	0.1	1.5

$$N_{SK \ NC \ bkg.}^{exp} \ = \ R_{ND}^{\mu, \ Data} \ imes \ rac{N_{SK \ NC \ bkg.}^{MC}}{R_{ND}^{\mu, \ MC}}$$

# Results for $v_e$ appearance search with 1.43 x 10<sup>20</sup> p.o.t.

Reconstructed v energy cut ( $E_{rec} < 1250 \text{ MeV}$ ) : Final cut

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

observe six or more candidate events is 0.007 (equivalent to  $2.5\sigma$  significance)

## $\nu_e$ candidate event

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

# of decay-e : 0 2γ Inv. mass : 0.04 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> recon. energy : 1120.9 MeV

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Check several distribution of $v_e$ candidate events

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Check several distribution of ve candidate events

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

\* Check distribution of OD events  $\rightarrow$  no indication of BG contamination

\* K.S. test on the R<sup>2</sup> distribution yields a p-value of 0.03

## Allowed region of $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ as a function of $\delta_{CP}$

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

90% C.L. interval & Best fit point (assuming  $\Delta m^2_{23}$ =2.4 x 10<sup>-3</sup> eV<sup>2</sup>, sin<sup>2</sup>2 $\theta_{23}$ =1,  $\delta_{CP}$ =0)

 $0.03 < \sin^2 2\theta_{13} < 0.28$  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.11$   $0.04 < \sin^2 2\theta_{13} < 0.34$  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.14$ 

57

Chooz bound assuming  $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{2}$ 

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Combining T2K and MINOS results

Joachim Kopp

June 17, 2011

#### **‡Fermilab**

#### Method

A very rudimentary approach:

- Digitize T2K and MINOS plots.
- At each δ, fit a parabola between the contours to obtain crude approximations to the likelihood manifolds
- Add the two log-likelihoods

#### Comparison of exact contours vs. our apporximation

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Combining MINOS and T2K results

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Upper limit on  $\theta_{13}$  dominated by MINOS
- Lower limit dominated by T2K
- Significance of  $\theta_{13} \neq 0$  reduced in combination
- Method not accurate enough for quantitative statements!

#### Including CHOOZ

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Implications of $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ for future experiments

- Can expect constraints on  $\delta_{CP}$  from T2K, NO $\nu$ A, reactors
- Need anti-neutrino runs

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Contours: 90%,  $3\sigma$ ; blue: NH, red: IH

Huber Lindner Rolinec Schwetz Winter, hep-ph/0412133

#### Implications of T2K for models

Albright arXiv:0911.2437

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Implications of T2K for models

Albright arXiv:0911.2437

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Backup slides**

#### Combining MINOS and T2K results (log scale)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Combining MINOS, T2K and CHOOZ (log scale)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)