HADRON COLLIDER PHYSICS SUMMER SCHOOL

5th CERN-Fermilab Hadron Collider Physics Summer School Fermilab - August 27, 2010

Direct Dark Matter Detection

Andrea Pocar University of Massachusetts, Amherst



outline

- why dark matter?
 - motivation
 - popular candidates for dark matter, WIMPs
- direct WIMP dark matter detection
 - a flavor of the experimentalist's approach to the problem
 - current experimental status (with controversies)
 - review of current experiments (personal cut)
 - key features: strategies and technology
- outlook

introductory remarks

- inevitably, this is only an overview of the subject
- many details of dark matter models are still being debated and are not discussed here
- I am honored to close this collider school talking about non-accelerator physics
- wait until the end for some piece of trivia and wisdom!





mass budget of the universe

there is excellent evidence that most matter in the universe is dark, i.e. does not interact electromagnetically



galaxy rotation velocity
 curves do not show the fall-off
 expected if all the gravitational
 pull were from the visible
 matter alone

the constant velocity out to very large radius suggests the existence of a dark, massive halo

A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10

evidence at the cosmological scale



- the WMAP measurements of the cosmic microwave background fluctuations provides beautiful data from which to infer that most of the energy density in the universe is unknown
 - ► ~85% of massive matter is dark
 - massive neutrinos are not enough to account for the missing part



A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10

are neutrinos dark matter?



- neutrinos are too light (relativistic) to explain observed large scale structure
- atoms (baryonic matter), photons and neutrinos add up to ~1/3 of the what is needed (at WMAP time)

are neutrinos dark matter?



- neutrinos are too light (relativistic) to explain observed large scale structure
- atoms (baryonic matter), photons and neutrinos add up to ~1/3 of the what is needed (at WMAP time)

 compelling candidates for dark matter are weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs)
 [after all, MACHOs were not found, so you look in the opposite direction!]

evidence for dark matter (Bullet Cluster)



evidence for dark matter (Bullet Cluster)



colliding clusters of galaxies (simulation)



particle candidate: WIMPs (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles)



 relic stable particle produced in thermal equilibrium in the early universe

$$WMAP: 0.095 < \Omega_{\chi} h^2 < 0.129$$

$$\Omega_{\chi} h^2 \sim (\langle \sigma_{\chi} v \rangle_{\text{freezout}})^{-1}$$

$$\langle \sigma_{\chi} v \rangle_{\text{freezout}} \sim 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3/\text{ s}$$

 weak scale cross section gives the right relic abundance (inversely proportional to the annihilation cross section)

•
$$\langle \sigma_{\chi} v \rangle \sim 10^{-25} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$$

($\sigma_{\chi} \sim 10^{-37} \text{ cm}^2$ weak scale)

A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10

detecting dark matter

Cosmological observations give us substantial evidence for dark matter. It is important to understand what this matter is.

 produce it at accelerators (LHC), maybe in the form of supersymmetric (SUSY) particles This effort has been ongoing for many years (LEP, Tevatron) at ever increasing energies





detecting dark matter

observe its annihilation products (e[±], γ rays, neutrinos, ...) in the Sun and our galaxy
 This is done by experiments such as HEAT,
 PAMELA, GLAST/Fermi, EGRET, VERITAS,
 HESS, MAGic, AGIS, IceCube



A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10





3. directly detect dark matter particles interacting with detectors in the laboratory

Τ5 ТЗ Τ4 XENON100 @ Gran Sasso **T2** Τ1 CDMS 2 @ Soudan DRIFT II @ Boulby A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10

detecting dark matter

DAMA/LIBRA

@ Gran Sasso

WIMP coherent scattering on nuclei

3-bis. direct detection of the elastic scattering recoil of nuclei in a crystal, liquid or gas.

A 100 GeV WIMP particle in the halo of our galaxy (300 m/s) imparts few-to-tens of keV kinetic energy to a ~100 amu nuclear target (Ar, Ge, I, Xe)

just billiard balls:

WIMP		
	Ar	

(this interaction actually has its subtleties)

dark matter galactic distribution

- spherical halo
- local density of WIMPs
 ~0.3 GeV/cm³ (~3×10⁵ protons/m³)
- assume Maxwell-Boltzmann
 velocity distribution
- v_{escape} ~ 650 km/s
 (WIMP escape velocity from halo)
- v_{escape} ~ 220 km/s
 (WIMP velocity on detector, non relativistic)
- rate and energy spectrum depend on WIMP distribution and velocity



 there are many versions of this model, but it is important to pick one in order to compare experimental results

WIMP wind and signatures



in the winter, moving away from wind

Drukier, Freese, and Spergel Phys.Rev. D 33, 3495 (1986)

now I will turn my focus mainly on the detection of spin-independent WIMPs in large, quiet detectors *coherent scattering* (*from simple quantum mechanics*)

nuclear radius: $R \sim r_0 A^{1/3} \sim 7 \text{ fm} (r_0 \sim 1.5 \text{ fm}, A=100)$

WIMP de Broglie wavelength (non-relaticvistic): $\lambda = h/p \sim h/mv \sim 6.6 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s} / 3.5 \times 10^{-22} \text{ N s} \sim 19 \text{ fm}$

WIMP interacts coherently with the nucleus: $\sigma \sim \sigma_0 A^2$

some formalism (spin-independent interaction)

Reduced Mass WIMP-Nuclide -----
$$\mu_A = \frac{M_A M_{\chi}}{M_A + M_{\chi}}$$

Reduced Mass WIMP-Nucleon ---- $\mu_n = \frac{m_n M_{\chi}}{m_n + M_{\chi}}$
Momentum transferred ------ $q = \sqrt{(2M_A E)}$
For zero momentum transfer ----- $\sigma_0 = \sigma_{\chi,n} A^2 \frac{\mu_A^2}{\mu_n^2}$
Non-null momentum transfer ----- $\sigma(q) = \sigma_0 F^2(q)$

what to look for

- 1. Rate per unit mass depends on atomic number A
- 2. Nuclear recoils spectrum depends on atomic number A
- 3. Yearly modulation of spectrum
- 4. Daily modulation of nuclear recoil directionality



the experimentalist's approach

If follow theoretical thinking and observational results carefully, but stay open to things we have not thought about, in view of the fact that we really do not know what dark matter is

• in order to build an experiment, one needs to make specific design choices; the following is a list of things one has to consider carefully:

- choice of target (A-dependance), multiple targets?
- aim for large target mass
- seasonal variation of detection rate
- energy resolution, spectral shape, low energy threshold
- directionality of recoil
- maximize background rejection

direct dark matter searches



backgrounds

1. cosmic rays: interact in the upper atmosphere producing muons which in turn produce showers of particles along their path

2. natural radioactivity (external an internal): ubiquitous; long-lived isotopes (^{238,235}U, ²³²Th, ⁴⁰K); cosmic activation isotopes (long- and short-lived)

3. neutrons: produced in muon spallation or via (α,n) reactions

strategies to overcome them

underground muon-veto detectors

material selection precision cleaning underground storage shielding

active and passive shielding multiple-site event detection

coincidence with muons particle identification

need to go deep underground



Depth (mwe) A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10



a handle on backgrounds (personal take)

the event topology for nuclear recoils is virtually structureless, and the signals are very low energy

it is very important to handle backgrounds, *i.e.* to be able to say that the signal you see is from WIMPs

low background might not suffice anymore

need to aim for a **zero background** window for physics

discrimination

between nuclear and electron (alpha) recoils is key to success



tracks from nuclear and electron recoils have different ionization densities

M.Attisha



Cryogenic Dark Matter Search (CDMS): a leader in the field for many years

deep underground (Soudan mine, Minnesota)
5 towers of 6 detectors (some Ge, some Si) @ <50 mK
ionization ('standard' semiconductor technology)
phonon read out (TES patterned detectors)





the combined readout provides excellent discrimination power against electron-like events

A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10

26

CDMS: event discrimination 10 µm "dead layer" results in reduced ionization collection





CDMS: latest results



- several years (tot exposure)
- extensive calibration
- ionization yield
- pulse rise time analysis
- extensive simulation and
 evaluation of background
 expected rates (neutron, surface)
- reconstruction and threshold studies
- blind analysis

▶ 0.8 ± 0.1 (stat) ± 0.2 (syst) surface events expected

► ~0.1 neutron events expected



2 events in the nuclear recoil band pass the timing cut (23% probability)

no definitive WIMP claim possible

WIMP sensitivity plots



[[]courtesy: Dan Bauer]

target mass dependance of WIMP cross section



noble liquid detectors: rapidly growing competitors

- Target = Detector
- 3D position reconstruction
- Excellent scintillation detectors: 40 photons/keV (Ar, 128 nm), 46 photons/ keV (Xe, 178 nm)
- Excellent ionization detectors: 40 electrons/keV (Ar), 64 electrons/keV (Xe)
- Photons and electrons not self-absorbed
- Easily purified
- Good/excellent background discrimination
- Good/excellent self-shielding
- Large multi-ton detectors possible and "cheap"



XENON 100



A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10



 2-phase liquid xenon time projection chamber (TPC) at Gran Sasso

- If follows successful XENON 10 experiment
- 61 kg LXe in target volume
- ▶ 4 cm-thick active LXe veto for shielding
- ▶ 242 1″x1″ low background PMTs
- improved XENON 10 shield (Pb, polyethylene, Cu, water, N₂ purge) [from: Marc Schumann @ IDM 2010] 32





primary scintillation photons emitted and detected (S1)



WIMP Scatter deposits energy in FV

secondary photons emitted by multiplication in gas region (S2)





XENON 100: first results

[arXiv:1005.0380]

>99% discrimination between nuclear recoils and electron-like events using S1/S2 ratio



A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10

extensive calibration with sources (¹³⁷Cs, ⁶⁰Co, ²²⁸Th, ⁵⁷Co, AmBe)

 ~200 µs drift time, possibly improving over time



[from: Marc Schumann @ IDM 2010] 34



XENON 100: first results



- ▶ 11.2 days livetime, 40 kg fiducial mass
- $E < 30 \text{ keV}_{nr}$
- background-free after S1/S2 cut
- some controversy over the light yield for low energy nuclear recoils



the LUX experiment

- 2-phase liquid xenon time projection chamber (TPC) at Homestake (Ray Davis' cavern`)
- split from XENON 10 experiment
- 100 kg LXe fiducial volume
- powerful LXe, active self-shielding
- Iarge water shield





0.2 tons/day recirculated and purified in 60 kg test setup with low power thermal pump

A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10

Xenon self shielding

Gamma interaction cross section (b) Lead (Z = 82) \circ – experimental σ_{tot} 1 Mb $\sigma_{\rm p.e.}$ Cross section (barns/atom) typical WIMP recoil energies $\sigma_{Rayleigh}$ **Only MeV** γ's can penetrate, but only keV y's can 1 kb fake a WIMP κ_{nuc} 1 b ĸe Compton 10 mb 1 keV 1 MeV 1 GeV $10 \, \mathrm{eV}$ 100 GeV Photon Energy

it's not hard to shield at 10 keV

sensitivity improves quickly as target mass increases



DAMA/LIBRA - positive signal?

• array NaI crystal scintillators, no discrimination, at Gran Sasso

• observed a persistent seasonal variation of low energy 'singles' rate, in phase with the Earth's motion in the hypothetical dark matter halo

total singles rate ~ 1 cpd/kg; amplitude of oscillation ~ 0.05 cpd/kg



- can be interpreted as spin-independent interaction of light WIMPs (~10 GeV)
- tension with existing experiments (XENON 100 among others)
- has triggered an enormous amount of theoretical and experimental work
- still an open question that needs further investigation

A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10





CoGeNT

- p-type point contact (PPC) detectors
- unprecedented low energy threshold
- great energy resolution
- pulse rise time used to reject surface events
- excess of low energy events?

keep an open mind! (but be cautious)









- CaWO₄ scintillating bolometers at Gran Sasso
- 10 keV threshold
- ► ~400 kg d (~ 10 kg of detectors)
- ▶ 32 events (~9 expected)
- neutrons, α leakage?
- Iow mass WIMPs?



Argon: DEAP/CLEAN

- argon and neon allow to perform pulse shape discrimination of primary scintillation, S1 (fraction of light in first ~100 ns of the pulse)
- ▶ based on the very large difference in decay times between singlet (\approx 7 ns) and triplet (1.6 µs) components of the emitted UV light



A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10



- ▶ 1.6×10⁻⁶ discrimination for 64-128 keVr
- theoretical discrimination power could exceed 10⁸! [Boulay, Hime (2004)]
 DEAP-I (7 kg LAr) has one event in 9.3×10⁹
- miniClean: 500 kg LAr (150 kg LNe)
- DEAP: 3600 kg LAr
- both detectors are single phase

[courtesy: Dan McKinsey]

Argon: pulse shape discrimination



discrimination in 2-phase Ar



S2/S1 (ionization/primary scintillation)
 rise time of the S1 pulse (fast for recoils, slower for mip's)

A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10

[courtesy: Cristiano Galbiati]



- argon TPC with readout of both primary scintillation light and ionization, for accurate 3D position reconstruction
- argon scintillation is produced in very much the same way as in Xe
- 2-phase design allows for the collection of electronic signal (with gain)
- double discrimination: S1/S2 ratio and pulse shape analysis of S1
- low ³⁹Ar (i.e. depleted in this radioactive isotope) argon from underground in view of a very large (several tons) detector
- ultra-low background detector design
- high efficiency, compact neutron shield (borated liquid scintillator)

50 kg active mass 5 ph.el/keV_{ee} 23 keV_r threshold background-free for 3 yrs sensitivity 10⁻⁴⁵ cm²

First Test for three key technological advances:

- 1) depleted argon
- 2) QUPIDs
- 3) neutron veto
- 4) water shield being considered





50 kg active mass 5 ph.el/keV_{ee} 23 keV_r threshold background-free for 3 yrs sensitivity 10⁻⁴⁵ cm²

DarkSide-50

cryostat

+ TPC

First Test for three key technological advances:

- 1) depleted argon
- 2) QUPIDs
- 3) neutron veto

4) water shield being considered



QUPID

liquid scintillator

DarkSide-50

in the CTF @ Gran Sasso





← 10 kg prototype in Princeton

Ar distillation column at Fermilab (PAB)↓











A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10

more food for thought

- spin-dependent dark matter to matter coupling
- inelastic dark matter (a spectrum of WIMPs)

[Smith, Weiner, Phys. Rev. D 64, 043502 (2001)]

axions as candidate dark matter

 continuously think hard about possible backgrounds and ways they can manifest themselves; we are defining the sensitivity of our experiments based on a complete understanding of backgrounds at energies which might not have been explored yet

• be your first critic (see *e.g.* Ralston, arXiv:1006.5255)

COUPP

- superheated liquid CF₃I (3.5 kg) ran as a bubble chamber @ Fermilab (threshold detector)
- spin-dependent searches (large masses possible)
- ionizing energy releases nucleate bubble
- fantastic discrimination against β/γ events (just run in a mode where they do not nucleate); main challenge: α background (acoustic discrimination!)





A. Pocar - HCPSS, Fermilab - 8/27/10

outlook

 direct dark matter searches are proceeding at a very sustained pace and are starting to probe some SUSY parameter space

• LHC is now in operation and will most likely make a 'quantum leap' in exploring the existence of possible dark matter particles

• the two approaches are *a priori* both in competition and complementary to each other; they will continue to advance together with indirect searches of dark matter annihilation products, while cosmological observations will give ever more precise indications of dark matter properties

 increasing sensitivity of experiments in seemingly known territory might always yield pleasant surprises, until dark matter is finally understood



trivia

believe it or not, I had won this Fermilab jelly bean contest back in 2007!

Image Credit: Fermilab



trivia

believe it or not, I had won this Fermilab jelly bean contest back in 2007!

(I could not be present to retrieve the prize in person, and they would not send it to me by mail!)

Image Credit: Fermilab



trivia

believe it or not, I had won this Fermilab jelly bean contest back in 2007!

(I could not be present to retrieve the prize in person, and they would not send it to me by mail!)

maybe a good sign for a bigger prize to come?

a subtle suggestion was sent my way one sunny day in a Berkeley subway station







thank you, and best wishes for a *massively* dark future!

further interesting topics



 seasonal variations of the muon flux measured by MINOS

• do we really understand neutron backgrounds thoroughly?