Integrable Optics Test Accelerator

A.Valishev, S.Nagaitsev (FNAL), V.Danilov (ORNL), D.Shatilov (BINP)

Input from
V.Kashikhin, G.Romanov, L.Nobrega, I.Batalov, H.Witte, A.Seryi

November 8, 2011

Fermilab AAC

Outline

- Concept of Nonlinear Integrable Optics
  - Nonlinear lattice and potential
  - Maximum nonlinear tune shift
  - Numerical simulations
- IOTA Goals and Scope
- Ring Design
- Status and Plans

Motivation

- The main feature of all present accelerators – linear focusing lattice: particles have nearly identical betatron frequencies (tunes) by design.
  - Hamiltonian has explicit time dependence
  - All nonlinearities (both magnet imperfections and specially introduced) are perturbations and make single particle motion unstable due to resonant conditions



Typical phase space portrait (single octupole lens):
1. Regular orbits at small amplitudes
2. Resonant islands + chaos at larger amplitudes

Motivation

COLLIDING BEAMS: PRESENT STATUS; AND THE SLAC PROJECT*

B. Richter **Report at HEAC 1971**

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

The discovery in the early '60's at the Princeton-Stanford ring of what was thought to be the resistive wall instability brought the realization that circular accelerators are fundamentally unstable devices because of the interaction of the beam with its environment. Stability is achieved only through Landau damping and/or some external damping system.

CBX layout (1962)



- 1965, Priceton-Stanford CBX: First mention of an 8-pole magnet
  - Observed vertical resistive wall instability
  - With octupoles, increased beam current from ~5 to 500 mA
- CERN PS: In 1959 had 10 octupoles; not used until 1968
  - At 10^{12} protons/pulse observed (1st time) head-tail instability. Octupoles helped.
  - Once understood, chromaticity jump at transition was developed using sextupoles.
  - More instabilities were discovered; helped by octupoles, fb

Do Accelerators Need to be Linear?

- Search for a lattice design that is strongly nonlinear yet stable
  - Orlov (1963)
  - McMillan (1967)
  - Perevedentsev, Danilov (1990)
  - Chow, Cary (1994)
- Nonlinear Integrable Optics: Danilov and Nagaitsev proposed a solution for nonlinear lattice with 2 invariants of motion that can be implemented with special magnets
  - Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 13, 084002 (2010)

Approach

- Start with a round axially-symmetric *linear* lattice (FOFO) with the element of periodicity
  - Phase advance $0<\nu_0<\pi$ in the drift
  - $n\times\pi$ in T insert



- Add special nonlinear potential *V(x,y,s)* in the drift such that

$$\Delta V(x,y,s) \approx \Delta V(x,y) = 0$$

Special Potential

- Start with a Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p_x^2}{2} + \frac{p_y^2}{2} + K(s)\left(\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2}\right) + V(x, y, s)$$

- Choose the nonlinear potential such that it is time-independent in normalized variables

$$H_N = \frac{p_{xN}^2 + p_{yN}^2}{2} + \frac{x_N^2 + y_N^2}{2} + U(x_N, y_N, \psi)$$

$$U(x_N, y_N, \psi) = \beta(\psi) V\left(x_N\sqrt{\beta(\psi)}, y_N\sqrt{\beta(\psi)}, s(\psi)\right)$$

- Find potentials that result in the Hamiltonian having a second integral of motion. In elliptic variables ξ, η

$$U(x, y) = \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2} + \frac{f_2(\xi) + g_2(\eta)}{\xi^2 - \eta^2}$$

$$f_2(\xi) = \xi\sqrt{\xi^2 - 1}\left(d + t\,\mathrm{acosh}(\xi)\right) \quad g_2(\eta) = \eta\sqrt{1 - \eta^2}\left(q + t\,\mathrm{acos}(\eta)\right)$$

Maximum Tune Shift

- Multipole expansion of U for d=0, q=t $\pi/2$ is $U(x,y) \approx \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2}$

$$+ t\,\mathrm{Re}\left((x+iy)^2 + \frac{2}{3}(x+iy)^4 + \frac{8}{15}(x+iy)^6 + \frac{16}{35}(x+iy)^8 + \ldots \right)$$

- For small-amplitude motion to be stable*, t<0.5

$$\nu_1 = \nu_0\sqrt{1+2t} \quad \nu_2 = \nu_0\sqrt{1-2t}$$



- Theoretical maximum nonlinear tune shift per cell is
  - 0.5 for mode 1, **or 50%**
  - 0.25 for mode 2, or 25%

Numerical Simulations

- Tools used
  - Modified version of MADX
  - Lifetrac (originally developed for beam-beam simulations)
- Methods
  - Evaluation of long-term particle motion stability
  - Resonance structure using Frequency Map Analysis
- Topics
  - Approximation of constantly varying V(s) with discrete thin elements
  - Effect of perturbations of T-insert lattice (non-equal β-functions, phase advance $\neq \pi$)
  - Effect of synchrotron oscillations
  - Sextupoles in the lattice

Frequency Map Analysis

- Based on precise tune determination from FFT of turn-by-turn particle coordinate (2D tracking)
- Evaluate tune jitter in sliding time window ➔ resonances

Example of FMA application for beam-beam effects





FMA of Integrable Optics

- ν_0=0.3, t=0.4, 40 thin elements per drift, 4 elements of periodicity



Integrable Optics vs. Conventional Multipoles

- Nonlinear potential approximated by multipole expansion up to 9th order



Effect of Number of Elements

- s-dependence of nonlinear potential approximated by N thin lenses

N = 20





N = 10





Long-Term Tracking

- Track a bunch of macro-particles in integrable lattice with aperture limitations + chromaticity sextupoles





IOTA Motivation

- An experimental test of the idea would benefit the worldwide accelerator physics community as well as the field of nonlinear dynamics in general
- Fermilab has a unique opportunity to take lead in this research as ASTA provides means to perform the experiment quickly and at low cost
- It was recognized by the previous AAC that "proposed experiments to test the Danilov proposal on integrable optics ring design and optical stochastic cooling concepts are high-risk, but potentially high reward"
  - Recommendation: 5. Proceed with the plans to create an advisory committee-reviewed, proposal-driven user beam facility at NML
  - Recommendation: 11. The ideas of the ring in NML need to be further developed and presented to the Committee in the near future

IOTA Goals

- We are constructing the Integrable Optics Test Accelerator ring, which would use the beam from e^- SRF linac with the ***goal to demonstrate the possibility to implement nonlinear integrable optics in a realistic accelerator design***
  - Only concentrate on the academic aspect of single-particle motion stability, leaving the studies of collective effects and attainment of high beam current to future research
  - Achieve large nonlinear tune shift/spread without degradation of dynamic aperture by "painting" the accelerator aperture with a "pencil" beam
  - Suppress strong lattice resonances = cross the integer resonance by part of the beam without intensity loss
  - Investigate stability of nonlinear system to perturbations
- The measure of success will be achievement of high nonlinear tune shift = 0.25

IOTA Goals 2

- After the proof-of-principle demonstration, further work will be directed towards
  - Achievement of large tune spread within a circulating beam
  - Achievement of space charge suppression in a nonlinear accelerator lattice
- We collaborate with ORNL, BINP, John Adams Institute (Oxford), TechX (SBIR phase 1) both on the current design and further development
- In addition to the primary goal, the ring can accommodate other Advanced Accelerator R&D experiments and/or users
  - Only portion of circumference is occupied with nonlinear magnets
  - Current design accommodates Optical Stochastic Cooling

IOTA Design. Lattice

- 4 elements of periodicity (cells)
- 2m drifts for practical nonlinear magnets
- T-insert tunable to allow a wide range of phase advances and beta-functions in the drift space in order to study different betatron tune working points
- One 5m-long straight section for the Optical Stochastic Cooling experiment.



IOTA Design. Parameters

Parameter	Value
Beam energy	150 MeV
Circumference	30.4 m
Dipole field	0.5 T
RF voltage	50 kV
Maximum β-function	22 m
Momentum compaction	0.14
Betatron tune	Q_x=Q_y=3.2 (2.4 to 3.6)
Equilibrium transverse emittance r.m.s. non-normalized	0.06 μm
SR damping time	1s (10^7 turns)

IOTA Nonlinear Magnet FNAL Design

- Practical design – approximate continuously-varying potential with constant cross-section short magnets
- Horizontal aperture 1 cm



Magnet cross section
V.Kashikhin (FNAL)



Quadrupole component of nonlinear field as function of s

Helical Coil Nonlinear Magnet (JAI)

- 3D design making use of modified helical coil method with two layers of conductor intersecting at an angle



Magnet cross section
(a) isometric perspective
(b) frontal view

H.Witte, A.Seryi (JAI)



Comparison of the desired and achieved vertical magnetic field on the centre plane

IOTA Design. Beam Instrumentation

- Precise linear lattice tuning is critical for success
  - β-functions must be controlled better than 5%
  - Phase advance in T-inser better than 0.02
- BPM system with 16 button-type pickups (M.Wendt), same as in ASTA beam lines
  - Capture turn-by-turn positions for 8-16k turns
  - <50 μm precision
- SR windows in dipoles for beam size monitoring

IOTA Design. Vacuum

- For integrable optics experiments only circulate beam for 100k turns
- Other applications may require beam lifetime ~1/2 hour
  - Vacuum system must provide 10^{-7} torr CO and H_2
  - 10^{-8} torr Ar
- Aluminum beam pipe, 50mm dia. In quadrupoles, 15×75 mm ellipse in nonlinear magnets

IOTA Design. RF

- Adapt Project X Copper cavity design

Parameter	Value
Aperture diameter	50 mm
Frequency	162.45 MHz
Q factor	30877
Shunt impedance	4.5×10^6 Ohm
Maximum voltage	50 kV
Power	0.56 kW

Status and Plans

- IOTA design requirements have been finalized
- Selected ring layout
- Dynamics simulations in the final lattice are in progress
- Procured components of beam instrumentation and vacuum systems

- Magnetic system specification early 2012
- Project review in 2012
- Component manufacture 2012
- Ring assembly 2013